Long Range Planning Advisory Committee Recommendations

July 11, 2019



This page is intentionally blank

Table of Contents

Committee Overview	3
Executive Summary	4
Methodology and New Data	6
Data Collection	9
Analysis	10
Conclusions	11
Recommendation	14
Maintenance/Replacement Projects Summary	16
Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Projects, Years 1-5	24
Capital Needs Assessment (CNA) Projects, Years 6-10	36

Appendices

- A. Historical Enrollment Analysis by Neighborhood
- B. Enrollment Projections
- C. Development Pipeline
- D. Long Range Population Forecasts
- E. Capacity vs. Enrollment
- F. Demographics by School
- G. Maintenance Replacement Program
- H. Technology Replacement Program

Committee Overview

Purpose and Mission

Per School Board Policy, "The Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee (LRPAC) is formed to inform and advise the Superintendent and School Board in the development of comprehensive, long-term plans for facilities needs in the most effective and efficient way and in support of the School Division's Strategic Plan. As an advisory committee, the LRPAC makes recommendations for consideration to the Superintendent and School Board.

Issues that may be considered by the advisory committee shall include, but not be limited to:

- school program capacity;
- enrollment and projections;
- transportation and operating efficiencies related to facilities planning;
- Capital Improvement Program (CIP) prioritization;
- creative financing and construction strategies;
- scope of renovations;
- school closures and new schools;
- student accommodation planning (building additions/modular relocations/review of school boundaries); and
- the future of "learning spaces" as influenced by technology and other dynamic fields."

Membership

The 2019 Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee (LRPAC) is comprised of citizens appointed by the School Board and Superintendent, and is supported by school staff as follows:

- Citizens: Amanda Alger (White Hall), Kate Bakich (Samuel Miller), Bruce Dotson (Rio), Gail Lovette (At-Large), Tammie Moses (Jack Jouett), David Storm (Scottsville), and Superintendent Appointees: Kate Barrett, Megan Carper, Jason Handy, and Shane Sawyer
- Staff: Rosalyn Schmitt, Chief Operating Officer Maya Kumazawa, Director of Budget and Planning Joe Letteri, Director of Building Services Sheila Hoopmann, Capital Projects Manager, Building Services Renee DeVall, Routing and Planning Manager, Department of Transportation Montie Breeden, Senior Project Manager, Albemarle County

Meetings

The committee generally met on a monthly basis for the last 18 months:

- 2018: February 28, March 14, April 11, May 9, June 13, October 10, November 14
- 2019: January 9, February 13, March 12, April 10, May 8, May 22, June 12

Executive Summary

The Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee (LRPAC) has prepared a \$180 million needs-based CIP recommendation for the Superintendent's and School Board's consideration. The request addresses key themes of growth, safety, equity, and investment in existing facilities. The price tag may seem large, however it is built upon the accumulating capital needs of the school division over the past several years. While the 2016 Bond Referendum funded certain critical projects over a three-year timeframe, capital needs are continuing to outpace the funding provided.

Projects and priorities were developed based on the guidance of staff expertise, perspectives from community members, and most importantly the objective analysis of data. LRPAC analyzed 10-year enrollment projections and building capacity as it has done previously. This year, however, this analysis was layered with additional new data sets including a historical neighborhood analysis, a development dashboard, and 30 year population forecasts.

The recommended projects fall into four key categories: maintenance and replacement; safety and security; capacity and growth; and renovation of existing facilities. Anticipating that funding will not meet the full needs identified by the advisory committee, the prioritization of these categories is challenging as each is with merit and importance. Here are some highlights of each:



- Maintenance/Replacement The report contains recommendations for the maintenance of existing facilities as well as the replacement of technology, school busses and furniture. While maintenance and replacement projects are historically prioritized first, these investments are becoming more and more costly, taking up a larger proportion of overall resources each year. As discussed at the May 9th CIP joint Work Session with the Board of Supervisors, the School Board must make difficult decisions for weighing maintenance and replacement projects against capacity-related projects and other needs such as improving school safety, providing a secure data storage center, and ensuring elevator access to students with physical disabilities.
- School Safety Ensuring the safety of our students is of utmost importance. As a major theme of the Superintendent's listening tour, this is a community priority as well. Controlled entrances, a major capital investment, are now complete at all schools. This recommendation's top-ranked non-maintenance project is another school safety improvement project. The project includes electronic locks and buzzer systems at front entrances, card reader systems at exterior doors and other safety improvements to our facilities.
- *Capacity* The top ranked capacity related project is an addition to Crozet Elementary School combined with redistricting Brownsville Elementary School students. Robust growth in the Crozet area has been on the radar for some time and the time for additional capacity has come.

As a growing county, adequate capacity will continue to be a need for the School Division. This is supported by the 10-year enrollment projections and reinforced even stronger by the 30-year population forecasts. For over 15 years, the school division has been in a practice of expanding existing facilities, and when necessary, deploying mobile classroom units in the interim. As it reaches a saturation point where expansion is no longer an option, the division needs to begin developing a long range strategy of new schools including potential location and timing as well as a plan for purchase/acquisition of sites where needed. This is evidenced by the High School Center model as well as the inclusion of funding for land acquisition in this recommendation.

Renovations - Balancing capacity needs versus making improvements to existing buildings was a
discussion driver for LRPAC and the recommendations represent a balanced mix of both. LRPAC again
recommends investment into the Learning Space Modernization Program to bring incremental updates
division-wide. In addition, more robust and comprehensive renovations are recommended at Albemarle
and Western Albemarle High Schools as well as two elementary schools.

There are two topics for which the committee could not find satisfactory conclusions: Cale Elementary and Middle Schools. Each represent complex challenges with no obvious or singular solution. Since the LRPAC is tasked with recommending *effective* and *efficient* recommendations, there may be more creative but also multifaceted alternatives to addressing capacity concerns over the next few years. The committee recommends investing in further study of these facilities.

Looking forward, the committee will continue to refine its methodology to provide the most objective analyses and recommendations, including the incorporation of more thorough evaluations after projects are completed. Capital planning will continue to be examined closely under an equity lens to ensure that resource allocations address the School Board Strategic Priorities. Ensuring that each student has access to high quality facilities is and will continue to be the basis of LRPAC recommendations.

Methodology and New Data

The LRPAC discussed and deliberated on a range of issues facing Albemarle County Public Schools' facilities and capital investments. Changes in enrollment, equity, facility conditions, educational adequacy, proposed new residential developments, and school capacities were discussed by school levels and feeder patterns. These discussions were all driven by data, with varied sources of information described below:

Historical Neighborhood Analysis (NEW)

Using actual school bus transportation data, staff compiled student enrollment information for the last 10 years broken out by neighborhoods within the largest elementary school districts. For each year and each elementary school district, the number of students riding an ACPS school bus was recorded by their residential neighborhood. This analysis can be found in Appendix A.

While this data is historical and does not provide information about future enrollment, it provides greater insight into possible cycles of student growth and stability of each elementary school – whether the growth is due to overall growth in a district or concentrated in one particular area, or whether after a number of years, the demography of a neighborhood changes. For example, looking at specific neighborhoods provides further information about whether or not to expect continued growth in the neighborhood, based on more planned construction of new homes or the completion of development projects. Over time neighborhoods may stabilize or even evidence a decline.

This data currently only includes the largest elementary schools. The remaining elementary schools (Meriwether Lewis, Murray, Stony Point, Red Hill, Stone Robinson, and Scottsville), middle schools, and high schools data are under development by staff.

10-Year Enrollment Projections + Development Dashboard (NEW)

Projections are developed using the cohort survival model which uses historical live birth data to project future kindergarten enrollment and historical ratios of students' progression from one grade level to the next. This is a very detailed methodology that results in projections by grade level and by school and provides a range of scenarios. In the past, LRPAC has primarily relied on this data source to conduct analyses and make recommendations. The projections can be found in Appendix B.

In addition, Albemarle County's Community Development Department recently released a Development Dashboard, a series of curated reports and metrics that seek to provide useful information regarding projects in the development pipeline. The development pipeline is a project's progression through the Albemarle County Community Development's permitting process and buildout. This data can be used in each school district or planning area to determine the future impacts of new development on population and student enrollment. The development pipeline data as of fall 2018 can be found in Appendix C.

The data primarily captures rezoning, special use permit, site plan, and subdivision applications that have been processed since fall 2017. Due to current staffing and resource levels, development data is not guaranteed to be complete, since the data is entered and updated manually by staff. Additionally, while the data may provide information about potential new residents in an area, it is difficult to predict details about

the timing of the projects and how many school-age children a development may yield since it is common for large developments to build out over many years.

Both of these datasets are used to determine budget, staffing, and other resources for the next school year, future capacity conflicts, and as a guide for general planning efforts.

30-Year Population Forecasts (NEW)

The Charlottesville/Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) uses forecasts of population as part of preparing the area's Long Range Transportation Plan. Using the Weldon Cooper Center' 2045 forecasts for Albemarle County's total population, the MPO worked with the County Department of Community Development to allocate shares of the County population to 140 traffic analysis zones based on zoning and other development and population predictors. The LRPAC overlaid and grouped these zones and their population forecasts with school districts to provide general insights into possible 30 year population and student growth expectations. This process was followed for all the schools except Scottsville because the MPO numbers are focused only on the more urban portions of the county. A summary of these forecasts is included in Appendix D.

The population forecasts for these groupings were used to supplement 10-year projections and the development dashboard data. The LRPAC used these estimates to discuss "what might be" in the next 30 years (2015-2045) with regards to the general scale of population increases. The 30-year timeframe avoids peaks and valleys of unpredictable year to year housing build-out timing, which may be reflected in the more near-term data sources. Additionally, analyzing long-term data forecasts may point to site acquisition needs before land is fully developed or prices rise.

These forecasts were used to extend confidence about trends and patterns already visible and supplement LRPAC's planning activities. As with all projections and forecasts, it will be important to review and evaluate these numbers when they are next updated by the MPO in five years.

Building Capacity

ACPS uses *program capacity* to determine capacity levels in school facilities. Program capacity is the student capacity of a school based on the current use of each learning space. In other words, it is how many students the building can support when the restrictions of the programs of study are applied.

Program capacity is estimated using three primary variables: number of classrooms, classroom multiplier, and a utilization factor. The classroom multiplier is the average of how many students should be in each classroom based on staffing levels and student demographics. The number is multiplied against the number of classrooms to determine capacity. The utilization factor is a percentage applied to the capacity figure at secondary schools to account for learning spaces that cannot be used 100% of the time (i.e. 7 out of 8 periods for middle and high schools).

The most current capacity figures are compared against the projected enrollment figures for each school to determine the current and projected capacity conflicts presented in the data analysis. The complete ten year data is included in Appendix E.

Equity Perspective

In addition to data that provides information about student enrollment, LRPAC reviewed and discussed data regarding equity, with a particular focus on economically disadvantaged and racial student data by school (Appendix F). In order to align with the School Board priority to identify and remove practices that perpetuate the achievement gap, long range planning should address and support this priority.

The highest number of economically disadvantaged students are concentrated in the urban schools, and the practice for how resources are allocated or how redistricting decisions are made must be conducted in a transparent and equitable manner, with a sensitivity toward how certain actions may be perceived. Additionally, the location of future schools, centers, or academies should be considerate of lower income students who may not have the same level of access to the schools or centers with limited transportation options.

Parity of facilities is another component of equity that was discussed by LRPAC. Ensuring that each student and each demographic group has access to high quality facilities and similar levels of maintenance services is important both within each school and across the Division. Additionally, a school may have access to greater resources due to PTO involvement, community donations, and other external opportunities. Division-level planning should incorporate these extra resources when determining how to maintain parity across schools.

Data Collection

Using the previously described methodology, data was gathered and synthesized by school and school feeder pattern. In addition to gathering data on projected enrollment and capacity, other factors such as the age of a school, recent renovations, and use of mobile units was included to provide a comprehensive view of the state of each school. The table below provides summary information about the data collection.

	504001 ^{1,2}	Year	Most Recent	Mobile	Econ. Disady.	18/19 Bida	18/19 Enroll-	Cap	acity Conf	licts	2045 Pop.	2045 Pop.	Current	Long- Term
	JUNUL	Built	Addition	Used	Index ⁽⁴⁾	Capcty	ment	2019/20 (1-yr)	2023/24 (5-уг)	2028/29 (10-yr)	Growth	Growth %	Need	Need
ttem	BROWNSVILLE	1966	2009	8	0.36	764	817	(77)	(152)	(142)	17.045	10.6%	x	x
eder Pa m.	CROZET	1990	1996	0	0.81	330	380	(40)	(59)	(53)	+1,945	+90%	x	x
em Fee Ele	MERIWETHER LEWIS	1988	n/a	4	0.30	420	404	14	11	13	+1 042	+0%		
West	MURRAY	1960	n/a	1	0.25	268	267	10	10	2	+1,243	+9%		
	AGNOR-HURT	1992	2015	0	1.48	558	500	74	96	94				x
	GREER	1974	2012	2	1.91	602	592	17	41	38	+9,319	+39%		x
eder em.	WOODBROOK	1966	2018	0	1.86	628	533	77	61	54				x
nem Fe	BAKER-BUTLER	2002	n/a	0	0.82	604	636	(40)	(53)	(54)	+0.250	+75%	x	x
North Pat	HOLLYMEAD	1972	2005	2	0.34	496	455	55	49	47	+9,209	+10%		x
	BROADUS WOOD ³	1936	1992	0	0.55	376	276	106	95	95	+2 141	+22%		
	STONY POINT	1934	1996	4	0.93	236	237	8	5	2	+2,141	+22%		
ittem	CALE	1990	2007 2016*	4	1.35	668	681	(6)	(7)	(2)	+3,817	+40%		x
eder Pa m.	RED HILL	1973	1980 2016*	3	1.56	162	196	(33)	(14)	(18)	+247	+12%	x	
em Fe	SCOTTSVILLE	1981	2004 2018*	8	1.36	189	248	(51)	(62)	(65)	n/a	n/a	x	
South	STONE ROBINSON ³	1961	1998	0	0.90	570	434	126	121	122	+4,970	+62%		x
	BURLEY	1951	2001	0	1.30	717	579	142	152	166	+13,594	+54%		x
	HENLEY	1966	2015	0	0.42	999	897	72	20	(14)	+8,918	+39%		x
Middle	JOUETT	1966	2004	0	1.84	717	603	53	17	8	+4,454	+22%		
	SUTHERLAND	1994	n/a	0	0.58	653	585	51	77	31	+9,671	+70%		x
	WALTON	1974	n/a	0	1.11	499	355	166	173	177	+2,013	+31%		
	ALBEMARLE	1953	2009	8	1.11	1,775	1,901	(88)	(314)	(296)	+19,199	+46%	x	x
High	MONTICELLO	1998	2007	0	1.31	1,243	1,131	84	76	156	+10,746	+46%		x
	WESTERN ALBEMARLE	1977	2019	0	0.43	1,227	1,153	40	(48)	(120)	+8,918	+39%	x	x
	TOTAL			44	1.00	14,701	13,860	760	295	241	+116,454	+44%		

Summary Data

¹Enrollment includes current Pre-K programs. Both the enrollment figure and the capacity figure assume 18 students for Bright Stars Classrooms and Head Start Classrooms and 8 students for SPED Pre-K (ECSE) classrooms. ²This chart does not include the Murray High School Campus. Murray High School currently has 99 students enrolled and a program capacity of 110. Community Public Charter School (CPCS) currently has 38 students and a program capacity of 50 students.

³Figures for these schools reflect hosting SPED Pre-K programs, but the students who attend these are programs are for the majority out of district. They are located at these schools due to availability of space. Stone Robinson has 3 classes and Broadus Wood has 2 classes.

⁴% economically disadvantaged / % all students, 1.00 indicates percentages are equal. For example, a school has 10% of ACPS students but 20% of ACPS economically disadvantaged students, which would result in an index of 2.00. *Security Addition.

Analysis

The table below is an analysis of the data sources as described in the Methodology section. The information and recommendations are grouped by geographic clusters, in order to reflect the transitory nature of geographic school districts and pre-defined districts used for the long-term population forecasts.

	SCHOOL	Historical	Capacity	Development	Population Forecasts	Capacity Recommendation	
ler Pattern I.	BROWNSVILLE	▲ High neighborhood growth	▲ High capacity conflicts	▲ High development	▲ High population growth	Crozet Addition & Improvements + New Elementary School	
Western Feed Elerr	MERIWETHER LEWIS	• Stable neighborhoods	Moderate capacity conflicts	Low development	• Low population growth	None	
Ŀ.	AGNOR-HURT GREER WOODBROOK	AGNOR-HURT GREER Stabilizing neighborhoods • Low capacity co		▲ High development	Medium population growth		
Northem Feed Pattern Elem	BAKER-BUTLER HOLLYMEAD	▲ High neighborhood growth	Moderate capacity conflicts	▲ High development	▲ High population growth		
	BROADUS WOOD	• Stable neighborhoods	 Low capacity conflicts Moderate 	Low development	• Low population growth	None	
	STONY POINT	Stable neighborhoods	capacity conflicts	Low development	Low population growth	None	
atterr	CALE	Stable neighborhoods	capacity conflicts	development	Medium population growth	Further Study	
eeder F Elem.	RED HILL SCOTTSVILLE	• Stable neighborhoods	High capacity conflicts	Low development	• Low population growth	Current capacity conflicts will be addressed by currently funded projects	
Southe	STONE ROBINSON	• Stable neighborhoods	• Low capacity conflicts	Moderate development	 High population growth 	None	
	BURLEY						
	HENLEY						
Middle	JOUETT		Moderate capacity conflicts		Medium / A High population growth	Middle School Facility Planning Study	
-	SUTHERLAND						
	WALTON						
	ALBEMARLE						
High	MONTICELLO		High capacity conflicts		▲ High population growth	High School Center Expansion	
	WESTERN ALBEMARLE						

Analysis

Conclusions

This section summarizes the general observations by geographic cluster for schools where LRPAC has formed a capacity recommendation. In addition to a synthesis of the data, both current and long-term alternatives are provided where relevant. In some cases, further study is needed before a recommendation can be made. These conclusions are focused primarily on capacity needs. Additional facility improvements are captured in the Recommendation section.

Crozet Area Elementary Schools: Brownsville/Crozet

Brownsville is the fastest growing district with consistent growth patterns in Old Trail, Western Ridge, Foothill Crossing, and Wickham Pond neighborhoods. Other neighborhoods, while growing, have leveled out in recent years. Residents in rural neighborhoods (e.g., South of Rt 250) have generally moved away, contributing to declines in those areas. Looking ahead, Old Trail continues to build out, with an estimated 313 units under construction, including 190 multi-family units. Another 172 single-family units are in the site plan approval process. Approximately 150 single family units are under construction in the Foothill Crossing, Chesterfield Landing, and Sparrow Hill developments, with another 90 units approved from prior rezonings or in the site plan approval process.

In contrast, the neighborhood analysis shows that Crozet Elementary's district has had moderate overall growth. There has been significant decline in the older areas of the district, offset by increases in areas such as Westhall. In the development pipeline, 126 multi-family units are under construction in The Vue and 450-475 additional units are proposed in the Crozet Square/Barnes Lumber redevelopment and Pleasant Green development.

Brownsville and Crozet are currently over capacity, and enrollment is anticipated to continue to grow. Brownsville will utilize 8 mobile classrooms next year. At a capacity of 330, the Crozet site has room for expansion. A design for an addition and improvements is currently funded in the CIP with the assumption of redistricting students from the current Brownsville district to Crozet Elementary when the project is complete. With a forecasted 96% population growth over the next 30 years, expanding Crozet up to its maximum is a near-term recommendation, but a new western elementary school will also likely prove necessary longer term. An expansion of an existing school is recommended prior to the construction of a new school for several reasons including timing, cost, less redistricting, equitable size among schools, and staffing benefits. These reasons are elaborated on in the justification section of the Crozet Addition project page of this report.

Urban Ring Elementary Schools: Agnor-Hurt/Greer/Woodbrook

Historically, Greer has seen overall growth in most neighborhoods and existing apartment complexes. Many seem to be saturated now and enrollment is stabilizing. In the Agnor-Hurt district, the Belvedere neighborhood has increased dramatically and has grown each year for the last 7 years. The Townwood and Triangle Mobile Home Parks have reached their peak levels and are currently saturated and stabilizing. Woodbrook's neighborhood growth has been generally flat with declines in Still Meadows and Old Brook/Westmoreland and an increase in Glenwood Station/Abbington. These neighborhoods are still growing.

Looking ahead, several hundred multi-family units have been proposed within the northwest quadrant of the Rio29 planning area and near the intersection of Rio Road East/John Warner Parkway. Belvedere has about 200 single family homes remaining to be built. In addition, 100-550 multi-family units (Arden Place 2) have been proposed within the Rio29 planning area.

Due to recent additions at all three schools, enrollment is projected to be under capacity. The economically disadvantaged indices are the highest in the Division. Longer term forecasts show this northern area growing substantially, and if forecasts prove out a new elementary school may be needed in the long term.

29N Elementary Schools: Baker Butler/Hollymead

Baker-Butler enrollment has increased due to redistricting and growth. Camelot, Briarwood, and North Pine neighborhoods are still growing primarily with NGIC employees. The Hollymead district has had overall moderate growth, with primary growth in the Hollymead Subdivision and no signs of leveling off. Younger families are moving into this neighborhood although there is no more development.

Looking ahead, both districts have major developments in the development pipeline. There are currently 365 single-family and townhouse units in the site plan approval process for North Pointe, a family-oriented community with a maximum buildout of 893 units. This development is in the Baker-Butler district. The Brookhill development may yield up to 1600 mixed units and is currently in the Hollymead district. Both projects have a proffered site for an elementary school.

Student enrollment is projected to be just at capacity at the two schools combined. However, Baker-Butler is currently over-enrolled and capacity conflicts are projected to worsen over time. On the other hand, Broadus Wood, the district directly adjacent to Baker-Butler has ample capacity of approximately 100 seats. LRPAC again recommends a redistricting study if the capacity situation at Baker-Butler worsens. Long-term forecasts show this northern area growing substantially. If forecasts prove out, a new elementary school will be needed.

Cale Elementary School

In the last 10 years, Cale's growth has primarily been driven by the Southwood Neighborhood which now seems to be stabilizing. Looking ahead, though, there are several new developments in the pipeline. 102 single-family attached and townhouse units are under construction at Avinity Estates, with another 100 attached units in the site plan approval process at Spring Hill Village. Habitat for Humanity's Southwood redevelopment currently proposes a maximum of 450 units in Phase I, with up to 800-900 total units at full build-out under its current agreement with the County.

Cale is the second largest elementary school and current enrollment exceeds building capacity with moderate projected growth. There are two mobile classrooms currently in use with more mobile units planned for the 2019-20 school year. In addition, the cafeteria cannot accommodate its current student population and parking at the school is inadequate for its staff of approximately 120. Alternatives for addressing the capacity concern at Cale include a school expansion, re-envisioning the current grade level configuration, construction of a new elementary school, or re-districting and expansion of other facilities. There is currently no clear alternative and LRPAC recommends further study of the situation at Cale.

Southern Elementary Schools: Red Hill/Scottsville Elementary Schools

With the closure of Yancey Elementary School in 2017, Red Hill and Scottsville have absorbed extra students, resulting in enrollment over capacity at both schools. The current CIP addresses these concerns with renovations and additions planned. These projects will be complete for the 2021/22 school year and will add a total of 150 new seats.

Remaining Elementary Schools

The remaining elementary schools (Meriwether Lewis, Murray, Broadus Wood, Stony Point, and Stone Robinson) have stable neighborhood populations and low to moderate capacity conflict, therefore no capacity-related projects or actions are recommended at this time.

Middle Schools

The five comprehensive middle schools currently have combined adequate capacity, but Division projections show looming capacity issues at Henley and Jouett. Long-term population forecasts show the middle schools gaining students in the out-years. Combined, approximately 1,300 more students than today are forecast. With the complication of split feeder patterns and under enrollment at some schools, further study to determine feasible alternatives is needed. Potential alternatives may include the addition of a new middle school, addressing current grade level configurations, and redistricting.

High Schools

The Division has embarked upon a "center" based strategy to address capacity issues at its three comprehensive high schools, in particular at Albemarle High School. This agile approach addresses both instructional and capacity needs in an efficient manner. Long-term county population growth is forecast to grow by 44% over the next thirty years. That could yield another 1,800 high school students, roughly the size of the current AHS.

Recommendation

The following 10-year summary and subsequent project descriptions are the recommendation of the 2019 Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee for the FY21-FY31 Capital Improvement Program and Capital Needs Assessments of Albemarle County Public Schools in priority order. *(Amounts are in thousands)*

	Rank	Project	5 Year Total
	M1	Facilities and Grounds Maintenance Program	\$45,310
Maintenance/	M2	State Technology Grant	\$3,500
Replacement	MЗ	Technology Replacement Program	\$13,896
Projects	M4	School Bus and Equipment Replacement Program	\$7,500
	M5	Furniture Replacement Program (New)	\$6,000
		Total	\$76,206
	Rank	Project	5 Year Total
	1	School Safety Improvements	\$2,000
	2	Data Center	\$1,500
	3	Elevator Additions	\$4,200
	4	Crozet Addition and Improvements	\$20,402
Capital Improvement Plan	5	Middle School Facility Planning Study	\$500
(CIP) Projects: Years 1-5	6	Cale Expansion and Site Improvements	\$5,456
	7	AHS/WAHS Renovations	\$36,000
	8	Learning Space Modernization	\$10,000
	9	Elementary School Renovation	\$20,000
	10	Land Acquisition	\$4,500
		Total	\$104,558
		FY21-FY25 TOTAL	\$180,764
		Project	5 Year Total
Capital Needa Assessmente		New Elementary School	\$20,000-\$25,000
(CNA) Projects: Vears 6-10		High School Center #3	\$20,000-\$30,000
(CNA) Projects: Years 6-10		CATEC	TBD
		Administration Space	\$6,000-\$7,000

Boundary Changes

Per Board policy, the committee is tasked to make long-term recommendations for facilities needs in the most effective and efficient way. This may require redistricting to make use of existing capacity. The LRPAC recommendation is based on assumptions that future redistricting will impact the following schools/areas in the next 10 years:

- Baker-Butler & Broadus Wood Elementary: Baker-Butler is currently over-enrolled and capacity conflicts are projected to worsen over time. On the other hand, Broadus Wood, the district directly adjacent to Baker-Butler has ample capacity of approximately 100 seats.
- Brownsville & Crozet Elementary: Projections show Brownsville will have the highest capacity conflicts. A design is funded to expand Crozet, with the assumption of that students will be redistricted from the current Brownsville district.
- *Middle Schools:* A study is recommended that will consider the possibility of redistricting the middle schools.

This page is intentionally blank

Maintenance/Replacement Projects Summary

(amounts in thousands)

Rank	Project	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	5 Year Total
M1	Facilities and Grounds Maintenance	\$8,909	\$9,062	\$9,115	\$9,010	\$9,215	\$45,310
M2	State Technology Grant	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$3,500
МЗ	Technology Replacement Program	\$2,698	\$2,521	\$3,512	\$2,485	\$2,680	\$13,896
M4	School Bus and Equipment Replacement Program	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$7,500
M5	Furniture Replacement Program (New)	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$6,000
	Total	\$15,007	\$14,983	\$16,027	\$14,895	\$15,295	\$76,206

These multi-year programs include capital maintenance or replacement projects. Such projects are intended to repair, maintain, or replace existing capital facilities or equipment.

Net Change from Current Adopted Plan:

(amounts in thousands)

Rank	Project	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	5 Year Total
M1	Facilities and Grounds Maintenance	\$(255)	\$1,340	\$(503)	\$706	\$15	\$1,228
M2	State Technology Grant	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
МЗ	Technology Replacement Program	\$1,713	\$1,536	\$1,777	\$1,500	\$1,695	\$8,221
M4	School Bus and Equipment Replacement Program	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$1,500
M5	Furniture Replacement Program (New)	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$6,000
	Total	\$2,958	\$4,376	\$2,774	\$3,706	\$3,210	\$17,024

As illustrated above, this recommendation includes increases above what is currently adopted. Historically, the County has prioritized maintenance and replacement projects above others and has fully funded these projects. The increases, albeit warranted, will impact the ability to afford other projects if revenues remain stagnant and that practice remains.

Facilities and G	rounds Mair		RANK	M1 of 5					
FUNDING	<u>FY20/21</u>	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25			
NEEDED	\$8,909,000	\$9,061,500	\$9,114,500	\$9,010,000	\$9,215,000	\$45,310,000			
SCOPE	The recomme useful life o components include roofs rehabilitation energy cons replacement; The full detai	ended program f our facilitie that are at or s; electrical, ; and flooring ervation mea and playgrou s of the program	m includes ma es by improv near the end mechanical, greplacemen asures; asbe ind equipmen ram are includ	ajor maintena ring, exchang d of their use and plumbin t. In additior estos abaten nt replacemen ded as Appen	ance work that fing or replac ful life. Such ng equipment n, this program nent; kitchen it. dix G.	extends the ing building components t; pavement n also funds equipment			
JUSTIFICATION	The purpose of this request is to achieve the following key goals:								
	Preserve ta	xpayers' inve	stments in pu	ublic buildings	S.				
	 Prevent fail and delivery of 	ures of buildi of public servi	ng systems th ces.	nat would inte	errupt occupar	nts' activities			
	 Sustain a s components 	afe and healt in good repai	hful environm and structur	nent by keepi ally sound.	ng the building	gs and			
	 Provide ma 	intenance in	ways that are	cost effective	е.				
KEY CHANGES	The maintena made in timir are identified • Project year t • Great robus	ance program ng, prioritizatio . In this itera cts are spread o year (~\$9N er focus on H t, reoccurring	n is reviewed on, and estim tion, the follo d out over the l/year) IVAC, electrica g funds in that	annually and ates. New pr wing are the r five years for al, and plumb t area.	d various adju ojects are add more significan r more consist ing work, with	stments are led as needs nt changes: ent budgets more			

State Technolo	State Technology GrantRANKM2 of 5									
FUNDING	<u>FY20/21</u>	<u>FY21/22</u>	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u>				
REQUEST	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$3,500,000				
SCOPE	The County of Albemarle Public Schools participates in the Virginia Public School Authority (VPSA's) Technology Grant. These funds are used to supply computers, networking hardware, and related equipment to administer the state mandated Standards of Learning test and which are also used for general instructional use when not committed to testing. Funding levels are determined by a state formula. These grant funds are provided by the state grant, making this request budget neutral assuming no changes to the state budget. The bulk of purchases made from this fund are to purchase computers; at an estimated \$1,000 per well-equipped computer approximately 700 computers are purchased per year. This grant represents a fractional portion of total machines that are also used for instruction, and must be maintained on a similar replacement cycle of 3 to 5 years as our other systems are.									
JUSTIFICATION	The Virginia F testing infras SOL testing. student to co every school remedial, and system.	Public School tructure nece This project is omputer ratio (; 3) High s d testing nee	Authority (VPS ssary to supp s utilizing gra o; 2) Internet peed, high-b ds; and 4) St	SA) grant is sp ort the State's nt funds to ir ready local a andwidth ca andards of Le	pecific to provision commitment nplement: 1) area network upability for earning (SOL)	iding the SOL to paperless A five to one capability in instructional, test delivery				
KEY CHANGES	None									

Technology Rep	lacement Pi		RANK	M3 of 5						
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25				
REQUEST	\$2,697,500	\$2,521,250	\$3,511,938	\$2,485,272	\$2,679,973	\$13,895,932				
SCOPE	The programs organized in t	s funds the r hree key area	replacement as:	of the followi	ng technolog	y equipment				
	<u>Classroom Te</u> (Student, te replacements replacements	<u>chnology – S</u> acher and s, teacher s, and K-2 tab	<u>tudent and Te</u> classroom computer let replaceme	eacher Device devices in replacement ents)	<u>es</u> cluding stuc ts, display	lent laptop technology				
	<u>Operational Support – Administrative System and Devices</u> (Office & administrative staff computers, VOIP/desk phones, VOIP phone system servers, building network closet battery backups, security cameras, security camera server, data storage system, data center battery backup, data center system server)									
	<u>Network Operations – Communication, WAN, Internet, Wifi, etc.</u> (Data Center switches, construction & materials for fiber project (ACPS portion after ERATE), web filter, building network switches, internet firewall, fiber maintenance, WiFi access points)									
	The full detail	s of the progr	am are inclue	ded in Append	dix H.					
JUSTIFICATION	Classroom Technology This area of the program provides the resources to enable the school division to operate as a 21st century learning environment. This environment includes the Digital Learning Initiative consisting of a student to computer device ratio of 1:2 for grades 3-12 and 2:1 for grades K-2. Teachers and staff are also each assigned a laptop or a desktop for performing their essential job functions. All staff and student laptops are cycled for replacement after those devices have been in operation for four full years of service. These processes are aligned to meet the technical requirements of the Virginia Department of Education's Web based Standards of Learning (SOL) for technology initiatives. Display technology replacement needs are a big concern for our school division moving forward as there are many display devices in schools that need to be replaced and no having a replacement cycle in place in previous years has created a current state of haves and have nots across our schools.									
	<u>Operational Support</u> This area of the program provides resources and technical support for operational areas of the school division. ACPS administrative and support s are provided laptops, desktops and other devices. These devices are repla on a four year cycle aligned with the student and teacher device replacem schedule. The Data Center and Infrastructure resources include secu cameras and related servers and storage, the School Division internet firew data center servers and storage and battery backup for that equipment									

Network Operations / Communication

This area of the program provides resources and technical support for the Division's communication and data infrastructure, including VOIP phone servers and phone devices for all classrooms and offices throughout the School Division. ACPS Technology supports a fiber network between and within all buildings that provides all internet and data services to and between the central office, schools and departments. Network operations includes our firewall, content filter, WiFi Access Points, Switches and other devices that provide safe and secure connectivity. This hardware is replaced on a five year replacement cycle. The School Division maintains a Data Center and backup data center that houses servers, data storage and core systems for resiliency, efficiency and cost effectiveness.

KEY CHANGES

The program now includes a replacement plan for display technology. Classroom display technology is failing in many classrooms because new devices have only been upgraded during modernization. There has been no replacement plan in place for display technology.

The overall budget for device replacement has increased to address student device equity, maintain a reasonable replacement cycle for our student devices, and anticipate inflationary increases. The division's student technology device replacement budget has not increased over the past decade while substantial increases in the number of devices and escalating replacement costs for student access to technology have increased substantially.

School Bus and Equipment Replacement Program RANK M4 of 5												
FUNDING	<u>FY20/21</u> \$1.500.000	FY21/22	<u>FY22/23</u> \$1,500,000	<u>FY23/24</u> \$1,500,000	<u>FY24/25</u> \$1,500,000	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u> \$7,500,000						
NEQUEUI	+_,,	+_,,	+_,,	+_,,	+_,000,000	<i></i>						
SCOPE	This project f based fleet s During each that are eligit purchase of vehicle in a n as 2 way rad varying pass student need throughout th equipment an	Inis project funds the replacement of school buses based on prescribed needs- based fleet size and replacement guidelines outlined in School Board Policy EEAD. During each operating year, 14 school buses will be purchased to replace buses that are eligible for replacement based on the previously mentioned guidelines. The purchase of a bus also includes necessary equipment to support operating the vehicle in a manner that meets the needs of our students (add on equipment such as 2 way radios, wheelchair lifts, etc.). The School Division's school buses are of varying passenger capacities and with specialized equipment to meet special student needs. The project also includes the replacement of ancillary equipment throughout the fleet. Examples of such equipment include navigation tablets, video equipment and cameras, and radios.										
JUSTIFICATION	Providing safe, reliable, effective, and efficient transportation to the school children of Albemarle County requires maintaining a fleet of reliable school buses. Improvement of vehicle technology occurs with each new model year, and taking advantage of the most up to date technology allows assets to be utilized effectively. One example is updated emissions requirements, and maintaining an up to date fleet reduces fuel consumption and carbon output.											
KEY CHANGES	The number of busses purchased per year has increased from 12 to 14. There are currently 222 buses in the fleet. Based on a cost analysis, the life target of our buses increased a few years ago from 15 to 16 years. In order to maintain the current fleet size, 13.9 buses would need to be purchased each year (222/16 = 13.9). The last three years the fleet has grown to 222 by trading in fewer buses than were purchased. However, the ability to do this will not be possible starting in 20/21. The distribution of the fleet is listed below. During the early years of this history, not enough buses were purchased to maintain the fleet age requirement. While the program has benefited from this recently because there were not many older buses to replace, it will need to purchase 14 buses per year going forward											
	In-Service	Total	In-Service	Total	In-Service	Total						
	Year	Buses	Year	Buses	Year	Buses						
	1999	0	2006	27	2013	17						
	2000	3	2007	4	2014	8						
	2001	1	2008	27	2015	13						
	2002	<u> </u>	2009	0	2016	13						
	2003	5 15	2010	T0	2017							
	2004	12	2011	∠⊥ 	2010	10						
	2005	12	2012	0	2019	TO						
	The replace	mont progra	m now alco	n includos t	the replacer	ont of ancillary						

The replacement program now also includes the replacement of ancillary equipment for the overall fleet not just newly purchased busses. Examples of such equipment include navigation tablets, video equipment and cameras, and radios. No replacement cycle previously existed for such equipment.

Furniture Rep	placement P	rogram			RANK	M5 of 5			
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25			
REQUEST	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$6,000,000			
SCOPE	This project Classroom pa specialty item useful life. furniture will educational p	funds the re ackages inclu ns. New furr It will also b be replaced practices.	placement o ude tables or niture replace ring outdated with flexible	f classroom desks, chai s items that l items up to and mobile	furniture at va rs, storage, so are often aged modern star furniture, to s	arious schools. oft seating and and past their idards. Current support current			
	The first three years will primarily replace one grade level per year beginning with third grade. Kindergarten, first grade, and second grade were completed as a par of the previous Learning Space Modernization capital project. The replacement, a was done with the early grades, will be accompanied by division-wide professiona development. After all elementary schools are completed, the program wi primarily support replacement at secondary schools.								
JUSTIFICATION	The replacement cycle for classroom furniture is generally accepted as 15 to 20 years, so furniture purchased when the building was built or expanded is quickly reaching and surpassing this threshold as current furniture becomes aged, worn and dilapidated. Currently, there is not an adequate funding mechanism for replacement of furniture. Any significant new furniture purchase has been in correlation with a larger capital project such as an addition or major renovation. The Building Services' operational budget contains a small amount to replace furniture, but it is basically used to replace broken pieces. It does not allow for large-scale replacement.								
	In terms of the built environment, furniture is that with which a student w interact the most. It makes a room functional. For the students, these up mean a better quality of experience as well as education. By replacing hea fixed furniture with more flexible options, students will be able to arrange and classrooms to fit their needs. Many of Albemarle County Public School's clas contain furniture not updated since the school's creation or expansion looking, well-kept furnishings and surroundings helps students & staff hav and maintain parity amongst schools.								
KEY CHANGES	This is a new Grade and Modernizatio	v project. Fu Second Gra n capital proj	Inding for fur de was prev ect.	niture replact viously funde	ement for Kind ed by the Lo	dergarten, First earning Space			

This page is intentionally blank

Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Projects, Years 1-5

(amounts in thousands)

Rank	Project	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	5 Year Total
1	School Safety Improvements	\$760	\$620	\$620			\$2,000
2	Data Center	\$1,500					\$1,500
3	Elevator Additions	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400			\$4,200
4	Crozet Addition and Improvements	\$20,402					\$20,402
5	Middle School Facility Planning Study	\$500					\$500
6	Cale Expansion and Site Improvements		\$5,456				\$5,456
7	AHS/WAHS Renovations		\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000		\$36,000
8	Learning Space Modernization	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$10,000
9	Elementary School Renovation		\$10,000		\$10,000		\$20,000
10	Land Acquisition		\$4,500				\$4,500
	Total	\$26,562	\$35,976	\$16,020	\$24,000	\$2,000	\$104,558

School Safety In	nprovement	S			RANK	1 of 10
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25
REQUEST	\$760,000	\$620,000	\$620,000			\$2,000,000
SCOPE	This project is individual sch district-wide le 1.) Electri that ca securi Middle High compl 2.) Electri at Wo of the 3.) Misce keying mana; FY21/	intended to encode through evel. This project. This project and be engaged the software. e, Woodbrook all have elected in FY20, onic access a odbrook Elem project will be llaneous secu gement syste (22 and FY22)	expand securit one-time fu ect will contin ad camera bu d and disenga Recent sec Elementary, E ctronic locks. /21. Ind badge rea hentary and the completed i urity upgrades and/or acces om. This porti /23	ty measures t inding (renov ue the following azz-in systems aged by a but urity addition Baker-Butler E This portion der system for the County Offin n FY21/22 are to possibly ss security ion of the pro-	hat have beer vations and g ing initiatives: at controlled ton in the from s/renovations lementary and n of the pro- or exterior doo ce Building). nd FY22/23 include upgra- integration of oject will be co	a initiated at grants) to a d entrances at office and s at Henley d Monticello ject will be rs (currently This portion ded interior with visitor ompleted in
JUSTIFICATION	Prioritizing the received durin measures to schools. The s measures and provide consis systems are p Continuing the implemented safety standa All measures buzz-in system the visitor man staff who serve schools and the students, pare of life in Albe health and se populations in	e safety of bo ng the Superin the entrance School Safety d supports the stent security projects previo hese would across all sc rds. herein, incluins, interior kein nagement sys we as the first hose who atter ents, and staf marle County afety, any di	oth students a ntendent's Lis is and main of Advisory Con project as a t measures at e ously initiated allow the hools, bringin ding controlle eying security item are desig line of defense and them phys f. That peace 's schools. D elay to these ounty's schoo	and staff and stening Tour, exterior entrie nmittee has r top priority. The each school. E for several A safety meas g them all up ed entrances, systems, and gred to give co se for the scho sically, but als of mind has ue to the ma e projects is ls.	in response t this project ac es of Albeman reviewed these ne goal of this Electronic locks Ibemarle Cou sures to be to the same , badge reade d security inter- ontrol and opti- ool. This serve o grants peac a direct impac gnitude of the a potential	to feedback dds security de County's e additional project is to s and badge nty schools. universally health and ers, camera gration with ons to office es to protect e of mind to ct on quality e impact on risk to the
KEY CHANGES	This is a new r at all schools.	request, but e	xpands on rec	cent work arou	und at the fror	nt entrances

Data Center					RANK	2 of 10
FUNDING REQUEST	<u>FY20/21</u> \$1,500,000	<u>FY21/22</u>	<u>FY22/23</u>	<u>FY23/24</u>	<u>FY24/25</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u> \$1,500,000
SCOPE	This project w Public Schoo building, cust location of the	rould fund the ls. The new om-built to be e new Data Ce	creation of a Data Center est contain a enter would n	a new Data Ce r would be a nd support th leed to be det	enter for Alben a separate, fi e current equ ermined.	narle County ree-standing ipment. The
JUSTIFICATION	Currently, the located in the School. There Butler Elemen far from ideal, County Public equipment in lacking in the	majority of Al basement of are two bac ntary School, lacking a lot Schools' ser a specialize current set u	bemarle Cou the Building kups, located should a pro of safety mea vers. The new ed environme p.	nty Public Sch Services main d at Monticelle blem occur. T asures that con v Data Center ent, with seve	nools' server e n office by Alb o High School he current Da uld help prote would house eral protectiv	equipment is emarle High and Baker- ita Center is ct Albemarle the existing e measures
	While the cur generator, it of have redunda cooling, powe have fire sup County Public at risk. The ne systems, bette	rrent Data Ce loes not have ant HVAC syst r, and cabling pression syst School's data ew Data Cent er securing th	enter has a l a backup HV em, as well . Most signific ems. Should a equipment er would hav e system.	backup power /AC system. Th as a raised f cantly, the cur a fire occur, would be lost, re complete fi	r supply in th ne new Data C loor with space rrent Data Cerr the majority of and the data is re and halon	e form of a center would ce below for iter does not of Albemarle itself may be suppression
	In addition to for staff worki Parking, resti separate space	safety measuing in the Data rooms, and p ce.	ares, the build a Center, and Alumbing woo	ding would als I a storage roo uld have to b	so include an om for equipm oe constructe	office space lent or tools. d as it is a
KEY CHANGES	This is a new	request.				

Elevator Additio	ons				RANK	3 of 10			
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25			
REQUEST	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000			\$4,200,000			
SCOPE	This project v Albemarle Hi Elementary S	will fund add gh School, N chool and Gre	itional elevato Aonticello Higi eer Elementan	rs at Albema h School, Bu y School.	arle High Scho urley Middle S	ol, Western chool, Cale			
JUSTIFICATION	Currently, ead not up to mo- elevators wou should an acc floors and ba	ch of these si dern standard Ild increase h cident or med ck as quickly	tes has only o ds, as EMTs co nealth and safe lical issue occu as possible.	one elevator. ould not fit a ety standards ur, by allowin	The existing e stretcher insid s for all studen g EMTs to get t	levators are de. Updated its and staff to the upper			
	In addition to the increased elevator quality, having multiple elevators in the building greatly improves quality of life and access to education for students. If the existing elevator has an issue or requires maintenance, then those who cannot use the stairs are left unable to reach the upper floors of the building. On occasion, classes have needed to switch rooms to accommodate someone with an ADA requirement because of an issue with the existing elevator.								
	Only having o on lengthy an be easily wit mobility may classes. Havin navigate their	ne elevator c d circuitous r hin the reach be forced to ng multiple ele r school, high	an also force to outes to naviga n of one eleva travel signific evators would ly improving th	the students ate the buildi ator, so stuc cantly longe give those st neir quality of	and staff who ng. Not all clas lents with alre r distances to udents options f life.	must use it srooms can eady limited reach their in how they			
KEY CHANGES	This is a new	request.							

Crozet Additi	Crozet Addition, Renovations and Site Improvements RANK 4 c					
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25
REQUEST	\$20,402,000					\$20,402,000
SCOPE	This project will an additional 2 site. The additi classrooms, ar and media cer existing buildin and cafeteria (toilets. Site im bus drop-off, replacement o The design wo If the construct year.	Il expand an 28,000 sf as ons will inclu nd various su nter to supp ng will inclu including AE provements additional f a paved pl rk for the pro tion is funde	d make impro s well as mak ude 16 classro upport spaces oort the large de improvem DA upgrades), s will include parking, ad ay area due to oject is curren ed the project	vements to Cro e improvemen coms, 1 SPED 5. The additions r student enro ents to existir and existing fr outdoor learni ditional playg o the likely loca htly funded and would be comp	ozet Elementar ts to the existi classroom, 3 s s will also expan llment. Impro ng classrooms, ont office, supp ng areas, new ground equipn ation of the ad d will begin in the pleted for the 2	y. It will include ng building and maller resource nd the cafeteria vements to the kitchen, stage port spaces and and expanded nent, and the dition. ne Fall of 2019. 022/23 school
JUSTIFICATION	As a designat accommodate project addres redistricting wi With several Brownsville wi year. The scho an additional 2	ted growth current and ses capacity ill need to be projects in Il utilize eig pol has adde LOO student	area in the d anticipated v issues at bot e implemente the develop ht mobile cla d 100 studen s in the next s	county, addit growth in the v h Brownsville a d to provide ca ment pipeline ssrooms begin ts in the last 5 5 years.	ional capacity Western Feede and Crozet Eler apacity relief to e, the expansi nning in the 2 years, and is p	is needed to r Pattern. This nentary. (Note: both schools.) on is needed. 019/20 school projected to add
	An expansion new school for • Timing relief t • Cost: \$1.2M kitcher • Less re less th • Similar in size transit • Staffin assista positio	of Crozet El several rea : An additio han constru A new scl /year to cov n, librarian, o edistricting: an if a new s r school size . This has ion into Hen g benefits: g threshold ant principa ns are part-	ementary is r sons includin n can be cons cting a new so nool has rec ver "overhead etc. The number school was co s: An expansi multiple bene ley and addre a larger enro s that would I and full tim time or share	ecommended g: structed and o chool. urring operati " expenses su of families im onstructed. on of Crozet wi fits including esses other par ollment at Cro provide the le art and mu d with another	prior to the co pen sooner to onal expenses ich as a princip pacted by redi ill make the two more equitable rity concerns. zet brings the school benefi sic teachers (school).	provide quicker s estimated at bal, office staff, stricting will be o schools closer e PTO's, similar school across ts such as an currently those
KEY CHANGES	This project ha	is been reco tes have be	mmended in en updated to	some form by reflect a large	this committee	e as early as expanded

scope to support a larger enrollment to meet current projections.

Middle School	Facility Planr	ning Study			RANK	5 of 10
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	<u>FY22/23</u>	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25
REQUEST	\$500,000					\$500,000
SCOPE	This project w evaluate all n Sutherland, J stakeholder e study capacit and parity am	vould provide eeds and opt ouett, Burley engagement, ty needs, fee nongst school ill consider r	funding for a dions for the di , Henley, and facility condit der patterns, s.	comprehensiv vision's comp Walton. The ion assessme boundaries, i additions ar	ve facility plan prehensive mic e study will ind ents, and data nstructional s nd renovation	ning study f Idle school clude robus a analysis f pace need s, bounda
	changes, gra other creative	de level cor e solutions to	nfigurations, t meet the vari	unique educa ous needs of	tional progra the schools.	mming, ar
JUSTIFICATION	The five com capacity, but complication further study	nprehensive there are loo of split feed to determine	middle schoo ming capacity er patterns a feasible alter	Is currently I vissues at He nd under enr natives is nee	nave combine nley and Joue collment at so eded.	ed adequat tt. With th me school
	In addition to	o capacity, re	novation nee	ds also need	to be evalua	
	educational a differing need	adequacy and is that need t	l parity. Of va to be articulat	rying ages an ed and plann	id sizes, the fa ed for.	ted for bot acilities hav

Cale Expansion and Site Improvements					RANK	6 of 10		
FUNDING REQUEST	<u>FY20/21</u>	<u>FY21/22</u> \$5,456,000	<u>FY22/23</u>	<u>FY23/24</u>	<u>FY24/25</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u> \$5,456,000		
SCOPE	This project student popu adds four cla square feet. learning space	funds expansi Ilation at Cale Issrooms, a m Site improven ces and playgro	ons and site Elementary. usic and art nents include ounds, and t	e improvemen The project e classroom for e additional pa he removal of	ts to support expands the car a total additi arking, enhand the mobile un	the current afeteria and on of 8,800 cing outdoor hits.		
JUSTIFICATION	The second largest elementary school, the school serves nearly 700 students and is over capacity. It will utilize four mobile classrooms next school year for necessary instructional and support spaces. The expansion will remove the need for trailers.							
	Capacity calculations factor in classroom space but they do not quantify deficiencies in other areas. So while there is not enough instructional space, the school experiences challenges in other areas as well including parking, outdoor play areas, and the cafeteria. The current cafeteria seats 120 students. Currently the school holds five lunches at 20 minutes each, beginning at 10:30 a.m. With a capacity of 120, there is only space for 600 students to utilize the cafeteria in a day. This leaves the remainder to eat elsewhere in the building.							
	Due to its alr recommende recommenda accompaniec growth in the	eady large size d that the scho tions are for I with further s area.	e as well limi ool be enlarg the currer study to deve	ted space to e ed to meet the nt school po elop a long-ter	expand on the long range ne pulation and m solution for	e lot, it is not eeds. These should be r anticipated		
KEY CHANGES	New Project							

Albemarle and N	RANK	7 of 10						
FUNDING REQUEST	<u>FY20/21</u> \$1	F <u>Y21/22</u> F <u></u> .2,000,000\$12	(<u>22/23</u> ,000,000	<u>FY23/24</u> \$12,000,000	<u>FY24/25</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u> \$36,000,000		
SCOPE	This project wi spaces at Alt recommendatic year. It is ant manner.	II fund compre- bemarle and on of master pl icipated that t	ehensive Western an study he renov	updates on Albemarle H that is sched ations will be	instructional High Schools Juled to be co e conducted	and support based on omplete next in a phased		
JUSTIFICATION	In 2017, a High School Facility Planning Study was completed. The recommendations, which the School Board accepted, included the construction of High School Centers to meet capacity needs as well the modernization of the division's existing high schools. Due to the age and condition of the facilities, Albemarle and Western Albemarle were prioritized first. As a part of the study, a room by room assessment was conducted utilizing the Education Facilities Effectiveness Instrument (EFEI) to determine the educational adequacy of the space to contemporary instructional needs. Both schools score poorly:							
	School	EFEI Assessmen Score (out of 130 possible pts)	nt EFEI Ratin (5.00 scale	g)				
	Albemarle	55.50	2.13					
	Western Albemarle	46.75	1.80					
	Monticello	74.25	2.86	i				
	legend		•					
	4.00-5.00	EXCELLE	NT					
	3.00-3.99	SATISFACT	ORY					
	2.00-2.99	BORDERI	NF					

5.00-5.99	SATISFACTOR
2.00-2.99	BORDERLINE
1.00-1.99	POOR
0.00-0.99	INADEQUATE

KEY CHANGES

Project timing has changed to align with master plan study as well as anticipating a multiyear, phased approach.

Learning Space	Modernizat	ion			RANK	8 of 10			
FUNDING	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25			
REQUEST	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000			
SCOPE	 This project funds renovations and improvements to instructional spaces a elementary and middle schools, which includes existing classrooms, libraries and other elective and instructional support areas consistent with School Board goals and priorities. Modifications will include furniture and renewal wor including updating finishes, casework, lighting, technology and power, and connections to adjacent spaces. The modifications directly support instructional needs and can be broken down in the following key areas: Classroom Modernization/Renovation 								
	lightir incluc	ng. Improve	transparence ors if feasible	e.	ion to adjac	ent spaces,			
	 Media Center Modernization Renovate media centers to be flexible hubs of congregation, collaboration, & creation. This includes updating furniture, shelving, and accessory spaces. 								
	Cafete Updat the e	eria Moderniz te cafeteria fi ntire school d	ration Inishes & furr Iay.	niture. Repur	pose space t	o be utilized			
	 Specialty Classroom Modernization Renovate existing spaces to create state-of-the-art science labs, m art, CTE & other specialty rooms. Create dedicated maker spaces. 								
	 Daylig Add o blinds 	ghting lay lighting to s or shades in	o spaces with spaces with	no or minim natural light t	al natural lig o better contr	ht. Update ol the light.			
JUSTIFICATION	Prior to this Schools curre project. Scho expansions a response, thi spaces. The to 50 years. spaces must	project, the ent spaces b pols with stab and therefore s project is a average age o As the buildi be maintaine	capital progr eyond routin le or declinin e have not r a concentrate f the original ngs age and d, updated ar	am included e maintenan- g populations received sign ed effort on t portions of the the needs of ad modernized	minimal fun ce or a large s have not rec ificant renova he needs of e County's sch students evo d.	ding for the er expansion ceived major ations. In <i>instructional</i> nools is close live, learning			

An evaluation of the entire division indicates that the majority of spaces are not meeting the design imperatives of contemporary learning spaces: transparency, sustainability, flexibility, mobility/interactivity, making everywhere,

	problem/project/passion based learning, choice & comfort, inside/outside. These imperatives are integral to the success of the curriculum and work of the 21 st century student.
	Research has proven that student learning is affected by the use and design of the learning space. This includes proper furniture, presence of daylighting, and many other characteristics of the space. Learning areas must be flexible spaces that can shift to accommodate a range of instructional activities and student needs and to create areas that can evolve to accommodate future learners and uses. To do so, funding is necessary to refurbish and renovate to meet and support contemporary learning expectations.
KEY CHANGES	With the inclusion of a Furniture Replacement Program and major renovations recommended at multiple schools, this project has been reduced to capture smaller scale projects at the schools which have not and will not receive major renovation work. The specific projects will be selected on an annual basis.

Elementary Sch	nool Renovat	ions			RANK	9 of 10
FUNDING	<u>FY20/21</u>	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	<u>TOTAL</u> FY21-25
REQUEST		\$10,000,000		\$10,000,000		\$20,000,000
SCOPE	 These projects represent placeholder projects for comprehensive renova at two elementary schools due to the age of the facilities. Further study evaluation is recommended to determine prioritized locations as well as scope. Scope may include but not be limited to the following: Classroom Modernization Daylighting Improvements Casework/cabinetry Upgrades Art and Music Classroom Renovations Media Center Renovations Cafeteria/Kitchen Improvements Bathroom Renovations Hallway Improvements New Exterior and Interior Finishes Interior and Exterior Door Replacement Reconfiguration of spaces to improve function or efficiency Painting Signage and Wayfinding Improvements Outdoor Learning Areas 					
	The exact sco also align and electrical, plu	ppe of work wil d consolidate p mbing upgrad	l be determi blanned maj es or roof re	ne once sites ai or maintenance placement)	re selected. work (i.e. m	Work will echanical,
JUSTIFICATION	While the div buildings are efficiently an assure all sc support curre age of the bu have received or declining p Learning Spa work is a mor building not j	vision consiste e due for mo d holistically k hools are safe ent educationa ilding. Typicall d renovations to copulations ha ce Modernizat re robust, comp ust instruction	ently and a re compreh oring aging , functional, l programs a y only buildi o existing bu ove not rece ion work is in orehensive p al spaces.	dequately funds ensive renovat building up to o , and provide th and operational ngs that have re uildings. That m ived significant ncremental and project that wou	s ongoing m ions that w date. Reno ne facilities r needs regar eceived large eans schools renovations benefits all ld impact all	aintenance, ill be more ovations will necessary to rdless of the expansions s with stable . While the schools, this areas of the
KEY CHANGES	New Project					

Land Acquisition	1				RANK	10 of 10
FUNDING REQUEST	<u>FY20/21</u>	<u>FY21/22</u> \$4,500,000	<u>FY22/23</u>	<u>FY23/24</u>	<u>FY24/25</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>FY21-25</u> \$4,500,000
SCOPE	This project p a new eleme required.	provides fundir ntary school ir	ng for the acc the future.	quisition of lar Anywhere fror	nd for the cons n 12 to 30 ac	struction of res will be
JUSTIFICATION	For over 15 existing facili an option, th new schools purchase/ac Northern Fee Pattern.	years, the sc ties. As it read e division nee including po quisition of s der Pattern, la	hool division ches a satura ds to begin tential locat ites where and options n	has been in ation point who developing a l ion and timir needed. Wit heed to be sou	a practice of ere expansion long range ma ng as well as th proffered ght in the Wes	of expanding is no longer aster plan of a plan for sites in the stern Feeder
KEY CHANGES	This is a new	request				

Capital Needs Assessment (CNA) Projects, Years 6-10

The second five-year period of the Capital Improvement Program (i.e. years six through ten) is called the Capital Needs Assessment (CNA) which helps identify County capital needs beyond the traditional five-year period. The following descriptions highlight key projects that should be included in the 10 year capital program. They are anticipated needs but are less urgent than those outlined in the first five years of the recommended capital program. The CNA should also include the ongoing CIP projects (Maintenance/Replacement projects, Elementary School Renovations, and Learning Space Modernization)

New Elementary School

A site for a new elementary school was proffered as a part of the approved rezoning for the Brookhill Development at the intersection of 29N and Polo Grounds Road. The location is optimal for growth along the 29 corridor. Such growth will be monitored, and if capacity becomes an issue at Hollymead or other schools in the area this project should be evaluated in more detail.

Approximate Cost: \$20 – 25 million

High School Center #3

An ongoing expansion of the High School Center model will be needed to continue to support high school capacity and instructional needs. High School Center #1 opened at Seminole Place in 2018 and Center #2 is scheduled to open in 2021. A third center with a size TBD will likely be needed in the next 10 years.

Approximate Cost: \$20 - \$30 million

CATEC

Facility changes will be needed to support contemporary and agile program offerings. This may include renovation of the current building or the construction of a new facility. It is too soon to identify a specific scope of work, but this project should be on the long range radar.

Approximate cost: TBD

Administration Space

This project will ensure adequate and efficient office space for all County school staff. The project design will consider the combined needs of all departments. Possible solutions could include, but are not limited to, purchasing a facility, new construction, and/or utilizing existing facilities. Design or renovations will include contemporary work spaces. Existing facilities to study include Building Services, the third floor of the County Office Building and the Burley annex. *Approximate Cost:* \$6-7 *million.*

This page is intentionally blank

APPENDIX A

Historical Enrollment Analysis by Neighborhood

AGNOR-HURT

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
North of Rio	20	22	20	31	27	27	18	19	18	12	13	-7
Townwood MHP/Triangle MHP	37	47	58	66	79	86	83	79	71	68	62	25
Four Seasons	80	79	77	70	78	96	95	97	94	107	99	19
Old Dominion	13	17	15	19	15	16	20	24	20	19	26	13
Woodlands/Earlysville/Squirrel/Townwood Su	76	83	90	90	88	72	0	0	0	0	0	-76
Minor Ridge	38	39	41	48	52	45	41	42	39	45	51	13
Branchland/Pine Haven	24	25	31	33	42	30	27	28	24	21	20	-4
Huntington/Northfields	30	24	25	24	31	20	0	0	0	0	0	-30
Belvedere	2	2	8	6	10	30	39	48	49	52	60	58
Duniora	42	42	55	53	58	58	49	46	47	37	31	-11
River Run	9	9	10	6	6	10	11	14	9	14	14	5
Towne Ln	8	12	13	15	20	15	17	16	20	18	18	10
Pen Park Ln	16	19	17	23	22	16	12	16	15	13	13	-3
Treesdale/Stone Water	0	0	0	0	3	23	29	28	35	32	32	32
Stonehenge/Wildwood	28	30	22	22	32	36	36	36	43	34	36	8
Total	423	450	482	506	563	580	477	493	484	472	475	52
							Redistrictin	g				

BAKER-BUTLER

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Chris Greene/Dickerson N	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	3	5	7	5	5
Forest Spring/Dickerson S	0	0	0	0	0	13	23	22	24	29	30	30
Airport Acres/Cedar Hill	0	0	0	0	0	13	15	18	12	21	24	24
Abington/Timberwood	0	0	0	0	0	32	43	57	52	43	45	45
Deerwood/Airport Rd	0	0	0	0	0	52	51	52	48	43	33	33
Gibert Station/Burnley Station	77	72	81	92	109	93	84	80	67	63	57	-20
Camelot/Briarwood/North Pine	134	146	140	121	125	127	145	149	137	156	168	34
Rt 29 to Camelot/Proffit/Polo	111	121	117	106	104	98	101	102	103	94	99	-12
Forest Lakes North	175	164	154	138	129	119	124	123	132	138	155	-20
Total	497	503	492	457	467	554	588	606	580	594	616	119
						Redistrictir	ng					

BROADUS WOOD

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference	
North, Markwood Rd/Simmons Gap	98	99	95	91	72	64	59	51	52	54	52	-46	
Reas Ford Rd/Buck Mtn Rd	60	66	67	74	70	69	73	79	66	56	55	-5	
Advance Mills/Buffalo River	62	52	69	79	61	72	55	47	49	52	57	-5	
Earlysville Forest area	71	67	49	57	58	68	74	68	58	44	46	-25	
Woodlands/Earlysville Rd	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	55	47	43	41	41	
Total	291	284	280	301	261	273	312	300	272	249	251	-40	
	Redistricting												

BROWNSVILLE

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Grayrock	0	0	62	64	66	68	66	68	65	62	51	51
Waylands Grant & Bargamin	0	0	36	45	43	53	53	49	49	47	47	47
S of Rt 250	149	132	138	129	144	146	125	148	136	124	125	-24
N of Rt 250 Newtown	65	61	65	63	78	74	69	63	60	57	60	-5
Old Trail	31	34	51	89	119	144	141	165	202	214	225	194
Rt 240	22	14	9	12	16	16	16	14	13	13	21	-1
Rt 250 E of Miller School	48	46	59	67	74	70	61	60	60	69	63	15
Western Ridge/Wickham Pond	95	91	96	109	128	127	125	139	147	144	153	58
Total	410	378	516	578	668	698	656	706	732	730	745	335

APPENDIX A

CALE

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
OLD LYN/MOSBY	21	23	26	39	52	50	56	47	41	34	40	19
REDFIELD/SUNSET/VILLA	118	119	118	108	123	125	115	130	136	128	122	4
WILLOUGHBY	45	47	47	36	38	38	35	29	35	31	36	-9
RT 20 TO E MARKET	36	46	47	41	48	50	44	41	52	52	46	10
SOUTHWOOD/STAGE/MAYMONT	165	156	188	180	195	209	226	251	269	257	214	49
MILLCREEK S	47	44	53	50	43	41	37	42	46	48	49	2
REYNOVIA	40	37	39	32	35	24	22	22	22	26	36	-4
MILLCREEK N	68	70	99	80	91	60	65	73	63	65	56	-12
Total	540	542	617	566	625	597	600	635	664	641	599	59

CROZET

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Grayrock	54	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-54
Waylands Grant & Bargamin	20	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20
N. of 240 & N. of Railroad Ave	149	149	130	138	130	122	117	104	99	86	91	-58
Lanetown Way & Orchard	50	55	50	49	40	42	37	45	53	58	55	5
Hill Top and Park	26	29	38	43	46	47	45	43	37	36	35	9
Sneads & Claudius	25	21	16	20	23	33	44	39	46	52	47	22
Westhall	1	11	17	25	24	36	43	44	45	44	52	51
Highlands	54	49	52	50	43	39	50	59	61	72	64	10
Total	379	394	303	325	306	319	336	334	341	348	344	-35

Redistricting

GREER

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Garth Rd	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	3	2	1
Barracks Rd W/S	63	58	72	61	69	74	80	75	119	112	120	57
Rt 250/ Colonnade	12	7	5	12	18	34	56	60	62	63	64	52
UVA Housing	48	45	39	32	33	28	35	32	27	25	15	-33
Georgetown/ Hessian Hills	56	51	56	57	60	49	56	73	69	67	64	8
Georgetown to Westgate	36	38	32	35	32	36	36	33	41	66	65	29
Solomon/Berkshire	40	40	39	42	49	74	73	74	78	75	69	29
Georgetown GRN/ Lambs	28	25	28	25	27	36	25	28	24	24	28	0
North of Lambs Rd	8	12	18	16	11	11	40	41	37	43	50	42
Whitewood Rd	49	48	53	56	51	39	37	40	47	51	42	-7
Landmark at Granite Park	59	73	55	76	64	53	73	76	61	87	67	8
Turtle Creek	19	20	23	33	34	43	45	37	38	34	37	18
Total	419	417	420	445	448	477	559	571	606	650	623	204
							Redistrictir	ng				

HOLLYMEAD

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Chris Greene/Dickerson N	12	9	8	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12
Forest Spring/Dickerson S	17	19	22	19	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	-17
Airport Acres/Cedar Hill	17	18	22	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	-17
Abington/Timberwood	14	19	33	44	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	-14
Deerwood/Airport Rd	21	26	35	45	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	-21
Spring Ridge/Turnberry	49	56	50	54	50	55	63	61	55	55	64	15
Hollymead Subdivision	134	122	130	126	133	138	155	185	182	189	199	65
Forest Lakes South	182	204	205	206	204	200	196	195	172	172	157	-25
Polo Grounds	8	12	14	18	19	28	24	22	20	23	25	17
Total	454	485	519	537	540	421	438	463	429	439	445	-9
						Redistrictir	ng					

APPENDIX A

MERIWETHER LEWIS*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	437	430	436	432	446	432	407	426	434	448	431	-6

MURRAY*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	253	244	261	267	252	256	257	251	249	240	258	5

RED HILL*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	182	185	175	158	158	152	141	153	146	132	186	4
										Y	ancey Close	d

SCOTTSVILLE*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	171	170	170	191	186	180	162	172	178	181	242	71
										Y	ancey Close	d

STONE ROBINSON*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	415	440	445	456	405	410	408	410	382	390	416	1

STONY POINT*

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Total	283	276	287	307	270	271	255	232	247	235		-283

WOODBROOK

YEAR	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	10 Year Difference
Carrsbrook	9	8	6	7	13	9	12	13	14	12	11	2
Still Meadows	32	30	33	32	25	26	22	15	19	13	9	-23
Woodbrook Sub	43	37	36	38	47	40	37	39	39	35	45	2
Mallside/Rio/Arden	104	94	90	93	97	87	99	78	90	101	108	4
Glenwood Station/Abbington	43	39	52	65	56	48	65	65	68	71	74	31
Old Brook/Westmoreland	73	64	61	56	61	61	55	62	61	52	45	-28
Northfields/Huntington	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	22	23	23	22	22
Total	304	272	278	291	299	271	308	294	314	307	314	10
							Redistrictir	ng				

*Neighborhood anaylsis still underdevelopment by staff, but in general these schools are relatively stable populations.

APPENDIX B

Albemarle County Public Schools Enrollment Projections FY 2019/2020 to FY 2028/2029

l

		Actua	al Enrollm	ients			One t	O FIVE YES	Ir Projecti	ons			N X IS	o len Yea	Ir Projecti	suc	
	2014/	2015/	2016/	2017/	2018/	2019/	2020/	2021/	2022/	2023/	5 year	2024/	2025/	2026/	2027/	2028/	10 year
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Incr.	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Incr.
AGNOR HURT	482	501	488	480	446	430	426	419	408	408	-8.5%	412	413	414	408	410	-8.1%
BAKER BUTLER	618	589	583	627	628	636	614	643	657	649	3.3%	644	650	629	651	650	3.5%
BROADUS WOOD	309	274	247	267	260	254	254	261	269	265	1.9%	264	266	269	266	265	1.9%
BROWNSVILLE	702	707	727	757	809	833	841	880	902	908	12.2%	883	006	910	898	898	11.0%
CALE	643	661	626	617	637	630	612	628	622	631	-0.9%	637	638	636	626	626	-1.7%
CROZET	330	335	357	352	362	352	350	350	352	371	2.5%	361	364	366	363	365	0.8%
GREER	549	551	622	627	538	531	515	530	516	507	-5.8%	512	511	514	508	510	-5.2%
HOLLYMEAD	479	449	453	456	429	415	412	421	419	421	-1.9%	424	425	428	422	423	-1.4%
MERIWETHER LEWIS	426	434	448	431	404	406	402	394	396	409	1.2%	409	409	410	405	407	0.7%
RED HILL	153	146	132	186	178	177	166	162	157	158	-11.2%	161	163	164	161	162	-9.0%
SCOTTSVILLE	172	178	181	243	230	222	223	225	231	233	1.3%	234	237	239	236	236	2.6%
STONE ROBINSON	410	382	390	416	400	410	401	422	413	415	3.8%	413	417	420	412	414	3.5%
STONY POINT	232	247	235	245	229	220	216	219	219	223	-2.6%	225	226	228	226	226	-1.3%
V. L. MURRAY	251	249	240	258	259	250	254	256	252	250	-3.5%	252	256	258	257	258	-0.4%
WOODBROOK	305	327	313	328	489	507	500	514	530	523	7.0%	531	534	537	528	530	8.4%
YANCEY	118	118	118					'									
Elementary Total	6,179	6,148	6,160	6,290	6,298	6,273	6,186	6,324	6,343	6,371	1.2%	6,362	6,409	6,452	6,367	6,380	1.3%
Elementary Annual Increase	130	(31)	12	130	8	(25)	(87)	138	19	28	73	(6)	47	43	(85)	13	82
BURLEY	557	551	586	559	579	575	625	600	598	565	-2.4%	554	534	531	549	551	-4.8%
HENLEY	824	819	855	861	897	927	991	066	989	679	9.1%	1,027	1,022	1,030	1,002	1,013	12.9%
JOUETT	590	597	567	553	603	664	721	722	714	200	16.1%	703	703	680	707	709	17.6%
SUTHERLAND	582	602	569	598	585	602	619	598	586	576	-1.5%	606	612	599	612	622	6.3%
WALTON	354	331	334	346	355	333	334	329	342	326	-8.2%	322	308	308	321	322	-9.3%
CHARTER SCHOOL	48	48	50	38	46	50	50	20	20	50	8.7%	50	50	50	50	50	8.7%
Middle Total	2,954	2,948	2,961	2,955	3,065	3,151	3,340	3,289	3,279	3,196	4.3%	3,262	3,229	3,198	3,241	3,267	6.6%
Middle Annual Increase	(3)	(9)	13	(9)	110	86	189	(51)	(10)	(83)	131	99	(33)	(31)	43	26	202
ALBEMARLE	1.953	1.953	1.960	1.973	1.901	1.863	1.860	1.876	1.954	2.089	%6'6	2.085	2.079	2.103	2.043	2.071	8.9%
MONTICELLO	1 092	1 141	1 130	1 175	1 131	1 150	1 128	1 161	1 175	1 167	3 2%	1 163	1 157	1 140	1 080	1 087	~0% ⁻
WESTEDN ALBEMADIE	1042	1 073	1 080	1 125	1 152	1 187	1 163	1 200	1 250	1 275	10.6%	1 337	1 323	1 215	1 258	1 347	16 80%
MURRAY HS	107	109	110	66 1	88	100	100	100	100	100	13.6%	100	100	100	100	100	13.6%
High Total	4,194	4,276	4,289	4,332	4,273	4,309	4,251	4,346	4,479	4,631	8.4%	4,685	4,659	4,658	4,590	4,605	7.8%
High Annual Increase	126	83	13	43	(59)	36	(58)	95	133	152	358	54	(26)	(1)	(68)	15	332
	010		0	001	ŝ	č	3	001		ľ	001		1011	;	10111	1	010
Annual Increase	253	40	28	166 1	600.01	16	4	182	142	16	799	111	(71)	11	(011)	2 2	616
Total	13,327	13,372	13,411	13,577	13,636	13,733	13,777	13,959	14,101	14,198	4.1%	14,309	14,297	14,308	14,198	14,252	4.5%





tent Tracking Exports.qgs Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic representations and are not to be construed or used as legal description. | Develop



nent Tracking Exports.qgs not to be construed or used as legal description. | Developr and are Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic



used as legal description. | Development Tracking Exports.qgs not to be and are Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic representatio





Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic representations and are not to be construed or used as legal description. | Development Tracking Exports.gs



Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic representations and are not to be construed or used as legal description. | Development Tracking Exports.qgs

APPENDIX C



Prepared by Albemarle County, Andrew Knuppel 10-12-2018. The map elements depicted are graphic representations and are not to be construed or used as legal description. | Development Tracking Exports.qgs



Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

П

Project Information
Units Notes Application # Pipeline Status Proposed # SF
lots SUB201600044 Under Construction 25
single family detachec SUB201700109 Under Construction 18
lots (formerly Adelaide) SUB201800076 Approved 35
ots SUB201800127 Approved
origo reminy detection SUB201500209 Under Construction
ingle family detachec SUB201700148 Deferred
ingle family detachec SUB201700146 Deferred
) units max ZMA20160005
SFD 66 SFA SUB201800023 Under Construction
single family detachec SUB201600038 Under Construction
attached, 3 detatched SDP201500004 Proposed
A15-01 reduced minimum units to 1,000 ZMA200400024
lots Under Construction SUB201600102
single family attached, 1 commercial lo: SDP201700050 Under Construction
SFA, 24 SFD. 16 affordable (4 in blk 10; 6 U blk16; 4 ADU in blk 17; 2 ADU in blk 18) SDP201600006 Under Construction
3 multifamily, 7 single family attached SDP201700004 Approved
townhouses, 6 @1 bedroom, 8 @ 2 SDP201800005 Approved
townhouses SUB201800114 Approved
35 sf retail, 5,360 sf restaurant, 18 SDP201700044 Proposed
single family attached, 15 townhouses. 12 ordable ADU SDP201700057 Proposed
SFD, 34 SFA. 20 affordable units: 8 for e. 12 ADUs (Initial: 82 SFD, 29 SFA) SDP201800069 Proposed
FA, all affordable SDP201600040 Proposed
FA, all affordable SDP201600040 Proposed
SUB201700161 Proposed
single family attached, 4 affordable SDP201800060 Proposed
7 single family attached and townhomes ZMA200400017 Under Construction
3 maximum residential units ZMA200500018 Under Construction
t division SUB201800001 Under Construction
@ 1bdm, 72 @ 2 bdrm SDP201600049 Under Construction
single family detachec SUB201700093 Proposed
lots: 49 SFD 10 DUP 10 TH SUB201700099 Proposed
ed-use with up to 200 residential units ZMA201000018 Deferred
units SDP201700034 Deferred
single family attached SDP201800022 Proposed
single family detatchec SUB201600079 Under Construction
single family lots SUB201300131 Under Construction
Lots SUB201700152 Under Construction
SEA SUB201800068, SUB201800169 Promoved

Q:\USERS\Andrew Knuppe\\Schools\10-2018 Emollments\Exports 10-12-2018\ResPipeline_Schools_10-12-2018_Tables.xlsx

Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

			Project Information			Exp	ected	Build	out (u	nit tv	pes of	nlv for	SDP/S	SUB)		Comple	teness	1
	Elementary		•			# Units				# MF-	# MF-	# MF-	# MF-	# MF-	Afforda	•	# Units	
₽	District	Project Name	Notes	Application #	Pipeline Status	Proposed	# SFD	# SFA	# SFTH	eff	1BR	2BR	3BR+	unk	ble	# Units Built	Remaining	
73 4	ignor-Hurt	Oakleigh	132 bed senior living facility, 22954gs1 commercial, 16 2/3 bed apt, 6 TH	SDP201700005	Under Construction	22	0	0	9	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	22	
0	-		15 single family attached and 16 single family		C	2	1	L	C	c	C	c	c	c	c	L	0	1
924	Agnor-Hurt	Duniora Park Phase 1	detached	SUP201/00033	Under Construction	. S	<u>0</u>	GT 00								0 4	07	-
1 66	Agnor-Hurt	Free State Kun	Zo single ramily attached, Z detatched	SUB201600018	Under Construction	87	2	97	O	O	0	O	0	O	0	G1	13	
93 /	\gnor-Hurt	Dunlora Park Phase 2	14 townhouses	SDP201800002	Proposed	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	14	
			9 single family attatched, 5 single family															
105 /	\gnor-Hurt	Villas at Belvedere	detatched	SDP201500065	Proposed	14	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
220 4	\gnor-Hurt	Rio Vista	3 new SFD lots	SUB201800097	Proposed	e	e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e	1.1
			25 TH, 11 SFD (2 existing to be															<u> </u>
251 A	\gnor-Hurt	Ecovillage	demoed/converted, 9 net new)	SDP201800056	Proposed	36	1	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	36	1.1
84 4	Agnor-Hurt	Belvedere ZMA	775 maximum residential units	ZMA200400007		775										504	271	1
						;	;					,	1	1	1		:	r
85 /	Agnor-Hurt	Belvedere Phase 2B	32 lots	SUB201800098	Proposed	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	
86 /	Agnor-Hurt	Belvedere P4A	11 single family detached lots, 24 townhomes	SUB201800117	Proposed	35	11	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	
221 4	Agnor-Hurt	Belvedere P5	25 SFD 20 TH in R4 portion	SDP201800041	Deferred	45	25	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	1
102 /	\gnor-Hurt	Stonewater	34 single family detatched	SUB200700077	Under Construction	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	2	1
104 /	gnor-Hurt	The Lofts at Meadow Creek	65 units in 1 building	SDP201400067	Under Construction	65	0	0	0	0	35	30	0	0	0	0	65	
216 (Greer	Commonwealth Apartments	22 units @ 2bdrm	SDP201700001	Approved	22	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	3	0	22	1.0
161 0	Greer	Inglewood Terrace	9 townhouses	SDP201600007	Proposed	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
164 (Greer	Out of Bounds Phase 2	14 lots	SUB201600090	Under Construction	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	80	9	1.00
78 (Greer	Stonefield ZMA	1.8 million sqft of mixed-use development	ZMA200100007		800										257	543	
) 62	Greer	Stonefield Block D2	104 town houses	SDP201400070	Under Construction	104	0	0	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	91	_
83 \	Voodbrook	Arden Place I	168 multi-family units and hote	SP201600023	Deferred	168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168	0	0	168	

Q:\USERS\Andrew Knuppe\\Schools\10-2018 Enrollments\Exports 10-12-2018\ResPipeline_Schools_10-12-2018_Tables.xlsx

Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

			Project Information			Exp	ected	Build	lout (L	Init typ	es on	ly for S	SDP/SU	JB)		Comple	eteness
	Elementary		•			# Units				# MF-	# MF-	# MF- #	: MF- #	MF- AI	forda		# Units
₽	District	Project Name	Notes	Application #	Pipeline Status	Proposed	# SFD	# SFA	# SFTH	eff	1BR	2BR 3	BR+ u	ink	ble #	Units Built	Remaining
167	7 Stone-Robinson	MJH Apartments	250 units, 100 @ 2 bdrm, 150 @ 1 bdrm	SDP201800018	Proposed	250	0	0	0	0	150	100	0	0	0	0	250
168	3 Stone-Robinson	Vistas at South Pantops	96 @ 2bdr, 48 @ 1 bdm	SDP201800008	Proposed	144	0	0	0	0	48	96	0	0	0	0	144
107	7 Stone-Robinson	ר Cascadia ZMA	330 max residential, 20,000 sqft commercial	ZMA200200004		330	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	137	193
108	3 Stone-Robinson	Cascadia Blocks 1-3	52 townhouses, 24 single family detached, 22 single family duplex (44 units), 5,000 sf non residential	SDP201400075	Under Construction	120	24	44	52	0	0	0	0	0	17	46	74
105	1 Stone-Robinson	Cascadia Blocks 4-7	59 townhouses, 87 single family detached	SDP201300025	Under Construction	146	87	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	22	91	52
180) Stone-Robinson	1 Glenmore Leake ZMA	Add 110 lots to ZMA199900016	ZMA200600016		110										30	80
176	3 Stone-Robinson	Glenmore K2C	26 lots	SUB201400181	Under Construction	26	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	17
182	Stone-Robinson	Glenmore Section K2B	8 lots	SUB201500156	Under Construction	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
281	Stone-Robinson	Glenmore K2C-II Phase 1	portion of K2C-II (total 62)	SUB201700005	Under Construction	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	17
175	7 Stone-Robinson	Glenmore K2C-II Phase 2	portion of K2C-II	SUB201800158	Proposed	19	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
18,	1 Stone-Robinson	1 Glenmore Livengood	43 maximum residentail units	ZMA200600015	Under Construction	43	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	18
183	Stone-Pohinson	Rivanna Villare ZMA	max 400 units, 60,000 sqft mixed non residential 15% affordable	ZM A 201 30001 2		400										V	306
184	1 Stone-Robinson	Rivanna Village Block A	27 townhouse units in 7 aroupings	SDP201600060	Under Construction	27	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	23
186	3 Stone-Robinson	Rivanna Village Phase 2	77 sfd, 37 sfa, 61 townhouses	SDP201700072	Proposed	175	77	37	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	175
185	Stone-Robinson	Rivanna Village Blocks B, C, D,E, F	F 44 SFD, 30 TH	SDP201700036	Proposed	74	44	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
121	Stone-Robinson	1 Riverside Village ZMA	105 max residential units, 46,000 sqft of commericial in 5 buildings	ZMA201200002		105										50	55
115	1 Stone-Robinson	Riverside Village Block 1	24 multifamily, 13,284 sqft commercial	SDP201700062	Under Construction	24	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	4	0	24
118	Stone-Robinson	Riverside Village Block 2-4	Blocks 2-4, 45 units total. 6 affordable in 2C (multifamily)	SDP201400043	Under Construction	45	17	16	0	0	9	9	0	0	9	32	13
207	7 Stone-Robinson	1 Shadwell Estates	7 residential lots	ZMA20150006	Approved	7	7	0	0	0	•	0	0	•	•	0	2
110	3 Stony Point	Hyland Park	34 lots	SUB201800157	Proposed	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34

Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

		Project Information			Expec	ted B	uildo	ut (uni	t type	s only	for SI	US/4C	B)	Ű	ompleten	less
Elementary		•			# Units			1 #	MF- #	MF- #1	AF- # N	MF- #1	MF- Afford	da	#	Units
ID District	Project Name	Notes	Application #	Pipeline Status	Proposed # SI	FD #	SFA # 3	SFTH e	eff 1	BR 21	3R 3B	R+ u	nk ble	# Cu	ts Built Rer	naining
44 Baker-Butler	Cedar Hill Mobile Home Park	32 additional mobile home lots	SP20030006	Under Construction	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5
177 Baker-Butler	NGIC Residential	120 unit 3 story apartment building	SP200700031	Proposed	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	0	0	120
232 Baker-Butler	3223 Proffit Road	109 single-family attached units	ZMA20180006	Proposed	109	0	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
160 Dollor		661 maximum residential units, 329	ZM A 200400014		100										50.5	150
103 Daker-Duller	Driarwood	COLISIL ACLEA III ZIMA 1333-03			1 00									_	700	20
172 Baker-Butler	Briarwood Phase 4	23 lots	SUB201600185	Under Construction	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	8
175 Baker-Butler	Briarwood Phase 8 40-58, 98-111	33 lot subdivision - townhomes	SUB201700100	Under Construction	34	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	5
171 Baker-Butler	Briarwood P1A-1	22 townhomes	SDP201800013	Proposed	22	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
174 Baker-Butler	Briarwood Phase 6 - Fina.	17 lots	SUB201800088	Proposed	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
53 Baker-Butler	Hollymead Town Center A2	1222 maximum residential units	ZMA200700001		1222										0	1223
48 Baker-Butler	Hollymead C1,3,4	77 sfa townhouses	SDP201700053	Deferred	12	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
47 Baker-Butler	Hollymead Town Center Area C	120 maximum residential units (ZMA2017-05 proposes to increase Block 3 residential by 30 units for 150 total)	ZMA200100020		120										65	55
50 Baker-Butler	Hollymead Area C Block 2,3,7	increase non-residential, increase residential from 10 to 40 in Block 3	ZMA201700005	Proposed	30										0	30
51 Baker-Butler	Hollymead C6	42 townhouses	SDP201700043	Proposed	42	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
56 Baker-Butler	North Pointe ZMA	893 max units	ZMA201300014	•	893										0	893
57 Baker-Butler	North Pointe	184 units in 33 multi-family buildings	SDP201800045	Proposed	184	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	184
58 Baker-Butler	North Pointe Subdivision	174 single family, 7 carriage house	SUB201600177	Proposed	181	174	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	181
63 Baker-Butler	Willow Glen	(amendment to ZMA was withdrawn	ZMA200600019		234										32	202
		32 TH, new 1 story 5666 sf office bldg. Keeping						-								
294 Baker-Butler	Timberwood Square	existing bldg	SDP201800071	Proposed	32	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
41 Hollymead	Brooknill	1,550 UNITSI 130,000 SQTT NON-RESIDENTIA	ZMAZ0150000 /		1550										0	155(
217 Hollymead	Brookhill Block 4	9 buildings: 147@1BR, 145@2BR, 24@3BR per parking calcs	SDP201800050	Proposed	316	0	0	0	0	147	145	24	0	0	0	316
245 Hollymead	Brookhill Blocks 9-11	85 townhomes. 13 affordable or cash-in-lieu	SDP201800052	Proposed	85	0	0	85	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	85
247 Hollymead	Brnnkhill Block 8B	110 MF units in 55 bldgs. 1&2 BR. 17 aff units or cash-in-lieu	SDP201800054	Pronosed	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	17	0	110
		50550				,	,		,	,	-	-			,	

Q:\USERS\Andrew Knuppel\Schools\10-2018 Enrollments\Exports 10-12-2018\ResPipeline_Schools_10-12-2018_Tables.xlsx

Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

		Project Information			Expec	ted Bui	Idout (unit tyl	on sec	ly for \$	SDP/SI	JB)	C	omplete	ness
Elementary					# Units			# MF-	# WE-	# MF-	# MF- #	MF- Affo	rda	-	≰ Units
ID District	Project Name	Notes	Application #	Pipeline Status	Proposed # S	FD # SF/	A # SFTI	H eff	1BR	2BR	3BR+ (unk ble	un #	its Built Re	maining
140 Cale	Biscuit Run Remainder (Breeden)	100 units allowed under ZMA	ZMA200500017		100									0	100
147 Cale	Sunset Overlook	42 lots	SDP201600003	Under Construction	42	19 2	3	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	37	5
148 Cale	Timberland Park	24 @ 2bdrm, 56 @ 3 bdrm	SDP201700016	Under Construction	80	0	0	0	0	24	56	0	0	0	80
141 Cale	Brookdale	96 affordable units in 4 buildings; 72 @ 1 bedroom, 24 @ 3 bedrooms	SDP201700069	Approved	96	0	0	0	72	0	24	0	96	0	96
		 (43) (20) bedroom, 61,7681 gross sf office, (1,010 sf storage, 5,500 sq ft restraunt, 724 sq ft 													
137 Cale 125 Cale	Woolen Mills Avinity Estates	retail 51 sincle family attached 51 townhouse	SDP201800012 SDP201800035	Proposed	43	0	10	0 0	00	00	00	00	0 0	0 0	43
133 Cale	Spring Hill Village	100 SFA. 15% affordable	SDP201800073	Proposed	100	0 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	100
131 Cale	Moss	24 multifamily units	ZMA201600022	Deferred	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	24
123 Cale	Avinity	24 condos, 100 townhouses	ZMA20060005		124									95	29
124 Cale	Avinity Phase II & II.	26 lots Phase II, 7 lots Phase II.	SUB201400113	Under Construction	33	0	0 2(9 0	0	0	0	0	0	28	5
300 Cale	Avinity Phase IV	7 lots	SUB201600184	Under Construction	2	0	0	0 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
126 Cale	Avon Park II	28 TH, 2 existing SFC	SDP201800074	Proposed	30	2	0	8 0	0	0	0	0	0	2	28
144 Cale	Royal Fern Subdivision	26 lots	SUB201800034	Proposed	26	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
145 Cale	Royal Fern Townhomes	30 townhouses	SDP201800006	Proposed	30	0	0 3	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
		Maximum of 400 units, and 120,000 sqft non													
146 Cale	Southwood P1	residential	ZMA201800003	Proposed	400	:			•	•	,	•		0	400
149 Cale	Whittington ZMA	96 maximum units	ZMA200600011		96	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	55 24
152 Cale	Whittington Phase B2 B4	31 lots	SUB201600057	Under Construction	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	12
153 Cale	Whittington Phase B3	24 lots in Phase B3	SUB201600163	Under Construction	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	22
154 Cale	Wintergreen Farm Phase 1	45 lots	SUB201600091	Under Construction	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	13
155 Cale	Wintergreen Farm Phase 2A	17 lots	SUB201700106	Under Construction	16	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5
156 Cale	Wintergreen Farm Phase 2B	10 single family lots	SUB201700176	Under Construction	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Ø
162 Murray	Kenridge	65 multifamily units	SP200400052	Under Construction*	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	57	80
166 Murray	White Gables	76 units approvec	SP200200023	Under Construction*	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	0	30	46

Q:\USERS\Andrew Knuppel\Schools\10-2018 Enrollments\Exports 10-12-2018\ResPipeline_Schools_10-12-2018_Tables.xlsx

Albemarle County Residential Development Pipeline | 10/12/2018 for Albemarle County Public Schools - by School District

																	-lieu option.		pproved. This	I							
		orda		2	0	64	111	0	11	30		49	0	0			ash-in-		than a								
		IF- Afi		S	0	0	0	0	0	110	-	0	0	168			lude a (are built								
		∠ # :	5 + ⁻	>	0	12	80	17	0	24		0	0	0			may inc		r units :								
		W 10 #	284	S	0	e	4	-	2	5		9	0	0			ments	in read)	iat fewe								
	Only)	# WF	X82	t		11	2	8	2	14		22					develop	move-i	mean th								
	P/SUB	# MF-	164	00	0	76	72	54	0	147		204	0	0		ized.	. Some	and are	es may i								
	oes (SD	+ WF	ett	C	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		w, italic	on plats.	upancy	esponse								
	ing Typ			00	206	35	142	10	23	85		229	0	0		wn belc	ubdivisic	s of Occ.	anges/re							-	
	Hous		FA #	00	0	246	174	91	0	0		97	0	0	r this.	are sho	ins or su	srtificate	arket cha								
		-	FU #	133	197	284	161	161	0	0		376	34	0	count fo	a ZMA	site pla	ìed a C€	and me			ed.					
		10		23	03 1	36 2	53	14	15	11		32 5	34	38	⊰d to acι	ered by	vith final	e obtain	pment,			submitto					
		# Units	ropose	ó	4(7(6	4	7	2		11;		1(attempte	ints cov.	inded w	hich have	e develc			ot been					
		* (1	23	130	388	371	200	152	139	54	232	0	168	t audit a	'elopme	cally inc	units wh	p for th∈	.suc		ר has חנ					
	ng	pplied	P/ZMA5	-	21	10	9	N	4	10		CN.			st recent	gin. Dev	n is typic	tes the u	unit ca	ubdivisic		site plar					
	emaini	uilt/una	Ity In S												he mos	can beç	ormation	' indicat	etting a	olans/su		pdated :					
	œ	nqun	capac												ctices. 7	fruction	This info	its Built'	ditions s	ve site		er, an u _i					
			166	100	2845	2261	1266	566	580	1550	54	1143	34	168	ling prac	re const	ments.	s. "# Uni	or conc	the acti		Howeve					
		C	s кета												tent cog	lat befor	tevelopi	ndments	proffers	uilt) for		scation.		loper.			
															nconsis	vision p	recent c	ap amer	ed with	qun) bu		ree relc		he deve.			
-			FEG	000	608	629	241	48	265	0	87	246	0	0	ts and ir	or subdiv	tent for	ning ma	sh phas€	remaini.		WillowT		n with th	-		
	, SUB)														able unit	e plan c	consist	oved zo	are ofte	le units	2010.	to the		unicatior			
	sP, SDF	÷	Built												s/afforda	ire a sit	IJ been	or appre	rojects	ninus th	ty since	ient due	ctivity.	commu			
	(ZMA/S		# UNITS												houses	vill requ	has on	posed	larger p	proval n	n activii	compon	scent ac	er CDD			
	ments		rif.												arriage	d and w	llection	s for pro	t these	osal/ap	plicatio.	dential c	e any r€	osed, pe			
	evelop														ments/c	'n in bol	data cc	it counts	zes thai	1A prop(nited ap	e a resic	not have	tly propo			
	Active D		000	770	453	920	507	614	845	550	141	389	34	168	y aparti	re show	rate, as	ides uni	recogni	sP/ZN	s had lin	t includ) does	current	 		
	AIIA		proved	-	ċ	Ŋ,	÷			ť		4			ccessor	nents ai	the accur	its inclu	ZMAs"	ider the	les) has	kely no	r) (Cale	relop as			
			sed/Ap												from a	Amendn	eed to t	slopmer	v in SP/	built) ur	ite Gab	e) will li	mainde	y to dev			
			Propo												ancies	3 Map A	uarant∈	'e Deve	capacity	ing (un.	and Wh.	sht (Cal	Run re.	unlikely			
			# UNITS												discrep	1 Zoning	re not g	All Activ	pplied c	Remain	nridge a	/elopme	(Biscuit	rook) is			
			-						_			-			otential	rmit and	nbers a	ed" for ,	uilt/una	⊭ Units I	rict (Kei	Is redev	operty (Woodbr	 		
			t	air	utler	rille				pe		obinson	oint	хok	ay be p	Jse Per	ility nun	Propos	ing unb	is the #	ray disti	Jen Mill	eden Pr	ace II (
			In rouse		3aker-Bu	3rownsv	Sale	Crozet	Greer		Aurray	stone-R	Stony Pc	Voodbrc	here m	special (Vffordab	# Units .	Remain	stimate	The Mur.	The Woo	The Bree	Vrden Pl			
			<	4	Ш	ш					2	0)	U)	>	-	0)	<	÷^	=	Ð			-	4			_
-	_	_			_	-							-	-													

APPENDIX D

Long Range Population Forecasts

Mid-Range Perspectives

	onflicts	sC
	ctsL0 yr C	ы Ш
S	5yr Confli	E vs C
<mark>ident</mark> Analysi	2yr Conflicts	E vs C
I Division: <mark>St</mark> u	18/19	Enrollment
Schoo	Building	Capacity
	School	00100
	Feeder	Pattern

1				
(142)	-53	13	2	64
(152)	(63)	11	10	96
(85)	(38)	18	9	78
81/	380	404	267	500
764	330	420	268	558
Brownsville	Crozet	Meriwether Lewis	Murray	Agnor-Hurt
ι	teri	S9V	٨	

76	38	54	-54	47	<u> </u>	2
96	41	61	(23)	49	95	9
78	33	84	(118)	58	106	12
500	592	533	636	455	276	237
558	602	628	604	496	376	236
Agnor-Hurt	Greer	Woodbrook	Baker-Butler	Hollymead	Broadus Wood	Stony Point
		stn	эцт	ou		

2)	8)	22	6)	
()	(1)	12	(4	_
(2)	(14)	121	(43)	
12	(22)	135	(83)	
681	196	434	248	
668	162	570	208	
Cale	Red Hill	Stone Robinson	Scottsville	
u	her	tuo	S	

166	(14)	Ø	31	177	
152	20	17	77	173	
92	8	(4)	34	165	
579	897	603	585	355	
717	666	717	653	499	
Burley	Henley	Jouett	Sutherland	Walton	
	əl	ppi	ш		

A	2	>	30 ye
(296)	156	(120)	ge over next :
(314)	76	(43)	ate may chan
(85)	115	64	ion (current ra
1901	1131	1153	015 populati
1775	1243	1227	enrollment/2
Albemarle	Monticello	Western	yield rate =current
ι	۱ġi۲	1	¹ Current

ears)

 2 No data because Scottsville school lies outside MPO metropolitan boundary 3 No data available for Scottsville portion of Walton Middle School , therefore only part is covered

Long-Term Perspectives

olation: to students	possible	added	students	1 155	÷.	67	04
Extrapo Population 1	current	yield rate ¹		ر م	01.0	0.05	0.00
on Analysis	30 yr pop	increase (%)		96%	200	0 0/	0 /0
Plan: Populatic	Estimated	2015-2045	Pop. Growth	7 945		CV C F	0 1 71
Transportation	Estimated	2015	Population	734	1010	12671	F0,01
Long Range	Schools	or Groups		Brownsville	Crozet	MLS	Murray

	12		2	2	77	
	65		0	0	1	
	0.07			0.00	0.05	000
	39%		7607	0/ C 1	7066	0/77
	9,319		0 750	0,200	0 1 11	Z, ± + ±
	23,814		10 116	12,410	0 675	0,0,0
Agnor-Hurt	Greer	Woodbrook	Baker-Butler	Hollymead	Broadus Wood	Stony Point

	Cale	9,539	3,817	40%	0.07	267
_	Red Hill	2,043	247	12%	0.10	25
_	Stone Robinsol	8,022	4,970	62%	0.05	249
_	Scottsville ²	no data				
I						
	Burley	25,054	13,594	54%	0.02	314
-	Henley	22,728	8,918	%6E	0.04	352
	Jouett	19844	4,454	22%	0.03	135
-	Sutherland	13,843	9,671	%02	0.04	409

Walton ⁴	6,439	2,013	31%	0.06	111
Albemarle	41,410	19,199	46%	0.05	881
Monticello	23,357	10,746	46%	0.05	520
Western	22,728	8,918	39%	0.05	452

APPENDIX E	pacity vs. Enrollment Projections	(Including Pre-K Students ¹)
	Capa	-

	28 2028/29	94	(54)	95	(142) (142)	(2)	(53)	38	47	13	2	(18)	(65)	122	2	54	133	166	(14)	80	31	177	368	() (296)	156	.) (120)	-260	I
	27 2027/:	96	(55)	94) (142	(2)	(51)	40	48	15	с	(17)	(13)	124	7	56	138	168	(3)	10	41	178	394) (268	154	(131	-245	
	6 2026/2	66	(63)	91	(154	(12)	(54)	34	42	10	2	(20)	(68)	116	0	47	61	186	(31)	37	54	191	437	(328	103	(88)	-313	
ICTS	5 2025/2	91	(54)	94	(144)	(14)	(52)	37	45	11	4	(19)	(99)	119	7	50	104	183	(23)	14	41	191	406	(304)	86	(96)	-314	
/ CONFL	4 2024/2	92	(48)	96	(127)	(13)	(49)	36	46	11	∞	(17)	(63)	123	ω	53	151	163	(28)	14	47	177	373	(310)	80	(110)	-340	
CAPACIT	3 2023/2	96	(53)	95	(152)	(2)	(59)	41	49	11	10	(14)	(62)	121	Q	61	142	152	20	17	77	173	439	(314)	76	(48)	-286	
	2022/2	96	(61)	91	(146)	0	(40)	32	51	24	∞	(13)	(60)	123	6	54	170	119	10	Μ	67	157	356	(179)	89	(23)	-134	
	1 2021/23	85	(47)	66	(124)	(4)	(38)	18	49	26	4	(18)	(54)	114	თ	43	162	117	6	(2)	55	170	346	(101)	82	18	<i>T</i> -	
	0 2020/2	78	(18)	106	(85)	12	(38)	33	58	18	9	(22)	(52)	135	12	84	327	92	00	(4)	34	165	295	(85)	115	64	94	
	9 2019/20	74	(40)	106	(77)	(9)	(40)	17	55	14	10	(33)	(51)	126	00	77	240	142	72	53	51	166	484	(88)	84	40	36	
	2018/19	58	(32)	100	(53)	(13)	(50)	10	41	16	Ч	(34)	(59)	136	(1)	95	215	138	102	114	68	144	566	(126)	112	74	60	
	3 2028/26	464	658	281	906	670	383	564	449	407	266	180	254	448	234	574	6,738	551	1013	709	622	322	3,217	2,071	1,087	1,347	4,505	
	2027/26	462	659	282	906	670	381	562	448	405	265	179	262	446	234	572	6, 733	549	1002	707	612	321	3,191	2,043	1,089	1,358	4,490	
	3 2026/27	468	667	285	918	680	384	568	454	410	266	182	257	454	236	581	6,810	531	1030	680	599	308	3,148	2,103	1,140	1,315	4,558	
MENT	5 2025/26	467	658	282	908	682	382	565	451	409	264	181	255	451	234	578	6,767	534	1022	703	612	308	3,179	2,079	1,157	1,323	4,559	
ENROLL	t 2024/2	466	652	280	891	681	379	566	450	409	260	179	252	447	233	575	6,720	554	1027	703	606	322	3,212	2,085	1,163	1,337	4,585	
JECTED	3 2023/24	462	657	281	916	675	389	561	447	409	258	176	251	449	231	567	6,729	565	679	700	576	326	3,146	2,089	1,167	1,275	4,531	
PRO	2022/23	462	665	285	910	666	370	570	445	396	260	175	249	447	227	574	6, 701	598	686	714	586	342	3,229	1,954	1,175	1,250	4,379	
	2021/22	473	651	277	888	672	368	584	447	394	264	180	243	456	227	585	6,709	600	066	722	598	329	3,239	1,876	1,161	1,209	4,246	
	2020/21	480	622	270	849	656	368	569	438	402	262	184	241	435	224	544	6,544	625	991	721	619	334	3,290	1,860	1,128	1,163	4,151	
	2019/20	484	644	270	841	674	370	585	441	406	258	195	240	444	228	551	6,631	575	927	664	602	333	3,101	1,863	1,159	1,187	4,209	
18/19 Eacoll	ment	500	636	276	817	681	380	592	455	404	267	196	248	434	237	533	6,656	579	268	603	585	355	3,019	1,901	1,131	1,153	4,185	
18/19 Building	Capacity	558	604	376	764	668	330	602	496	420	268	162	189	570	236	628	6871	717	666	717	653	499	3585	1,775	1,243	1,227	4,245	Γ
00110012	SCHOOL	AGNOR-HURT	BAKER-BUTLER	BROADUS WOOD ³	BROWNSVILLE	CALE	CROZET	GREER	NTER HOLLYMEAD	MERIWETHER LEWIS	M URRAY	RED HILL	SCOTTSVILLE	STONE ROBINSON ³	STONY POINT	WOODBROOK	Subtotal	BURLEY	HENLEY		SUTHERLAND	WALTON	Subtotal	ALBEMARLE	MONTICELLO	WESTERN ALBEMARLE	Subtotal	

¹Emoliment includes current Pre-K programs. Both the emrollment figure and the capacity figure assume 18 students for Bright Stars Classrooms and Head Start Classrooms and 8 students for SPED Pre-K (ECSE) classrooms. This does not necessarily reflect actual enrollment in these classrooms. ²This chart does not include the Murray High School Campus. Murray High School currently has 99 students enrolled and a program capacity of 110. Community Public Charter School (CPCS) currently has 38 students and a program capacity of 50 students. ³Figures for these schools reflect hosting SPED Pre-K programs, but the students who attend these are programs are for the majority out of district. They are located at these schools due to availability of space. Stone Robinson has 3 classes and Broadus Wood has 2 classes.

06/10/19

APPENDIX F

Student Demographics by School

2018/19 PK-12

Enrollment by Demographic Group

School Name

Agnor-Hurt Elementary Baker-Butler Elementary Broadus Wood Elementary Brownsville Elementary Crozet Elementary Hollymead Elementary Mary Carr Greer Elementary Mary Carr Greer Elementary Paul H. Cale Elementary Red Hill Elementary Red Hill Elementary Scottsville Elementary Stone Robinson Elementary Stony Point Elementary Virginia L. Murray Elementary Woodbrook Elementary Community Public Charter Jack Jouett Middle Jackson P. Burley Middle Joseph T. Henley Middle Mortimer Y. Sutherland Middle Leslie H. Walton Middle

Albemarle High Monticello High Murray High Western Albemarle High

					_	_	_	_					_					_		
English	Lang.	Learner	76	64	>	V	10	68	189	v	165	~	V	7T	12	v	109	V	145	26
	Econ.	Disadv.	256	158	50	86	94	50	409	34	299	109	117	121	68	20	321	11	327	228
		White	177	412	237	676	307	262	150	69E	322	135	196	311	158	198	171	37	266	294
Two or	More	Races	54	52	14	46	17	42	38	8	26	14	16	23	20	29	41	5	45	40
		Hispanic	112	68	19	43	21	34	149	17	232	31	19	35	90 30	13	120	Е	134	118
		Black	116	51	8	19	6	15	177	1	53	14	19	42	26	11	158	1	130	103
		Asian	23	36	T	33	L	84	61	6	18	1	0	20	5	16	74	0	31	29
	Total PK-12	Enrollment	482	640	280	817	363	440	577	405	683	196	250	433	239	270	534	46	609	584

14	163	281	268	109	1,092	532	196
	25	138	167	69	737	335	76
	1	9	5	4	23	24	0
	28	22	50	38	1,016	118	11

48

122 104

768 390

27 28

33 48 33

32 32 32

39 58

899 590 ø

358

18

133

267

17

22

APPENDIX F

Student Demographics by School

2018/19 PK-12

Demographic Group as % of Total Enrollment

School Name

Agnor-Hurt Elementary Baker-Butler Elementary Broadus Wood Elementary Brownsville Elementary Crozet Elementary Hollymead Elementary Mary Carr Greer Elementary Mary Carr Greer Elementary Paul H. Cale Elementary Red Hill Elementary Red Hill Elementary Scottsville Elementary Stone Robinson Elementary Stony Point Elementary Virginia L. Murray Elementary Woodbrook Elementary Community Public Charter Jack Jouett Middle Jackson P. Burley Middle Joseph T. Henley Middle Mortimer Y. Sutherland Middle Leslie H. Walton Middle

17% 2% 8% 5%

39%

50%

%2

20%

18%

5%

14% 18% 37%

85% 66%

3% 5%

5% 9%

2% 10%

10%

4%

584 899 590 358

75%

5%

%6

%6

2%

Albemarle High Monticello High Murray High Western Albemarle High

																		Ľ		
English	Lang.	Learner	20%	10%			%E	%6	%EE		%72			%E	%9		20%			%72
	Econ.	Disadv.	53%	25%	18%	11%	26%	11%	71%	8%	44%	56%	47%	28%	28%	7%	60%		24%	54%
		White	37%	64%	85%	83%	85%	80%	26%	91%	47%	%69	78%	72%	66%	73%	32%		80%	44%
Two or	More	Races	11%	8%	5%	8%	5%	10%	7%	2%	8%	7%	6%	5%	8%	11%	8%		11%	7%
		Hispanic	23%	14%	%2	5%	8%	8%	26%	4%	34%	16%	8%	8%	13%	5%	22%		7%	22%
		Black	24%	%8	%E	%2	2%	%E	31%	%0	%8	%L	%8	10%	11%	4%	%0E		2%	21%
		Asian	2%	%9	%0	%†	%7	79 %	11%	%2	%E	1%	%0	%9	2%	%9	%8		%0	%9
	Total PK-12	Enrollment	482	640	280	817	363	440	577	405	683	196	250	433	239	270	534		46	609

ļ				
	10%	%L	%0	%T
	%87	%67	27%	70 %
	81%	%59	82%	%88
	6%	6%	4%	3%
	14%	15%	6%	4%
	15%	12%	%L	2%
	%6	2%	1%	2%
	1,914	1,138	68	1,158

ACPS Maintenance/Replacement Program Summary

By Category

	FY20/21 FY21/22		FY21/22	FY22/23			FY23/24	FY24/25		
Building	\$	1,435,000	\$	2,442,500	\$	1,620,000	\$	2,195,000	\$	1,950,000
Roof	\$	2,340,000	\$	1,030,000	\$	1,130,000	\$	2,535,000	\$	2,210,000
Site	\$	1,244,000	\$	1,019,000	\$	1,959,000	\$	1,225,000	\$	730,000
HVAC	\$	2,220,000	\$	2,870,000	\$	2,250,500	\$	1,725,000	\$	2,220,000
Electrical	\$	865,000	\$	1,020,000	\$	500,000	\$	500,000	\$	625,000
Plumbing	\$	805,000	\$	680,000	\$	1,655,000	\$	830,000	\$	1,480,000

Total

TULAT								
	 FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23			FY23/24	FY24/25	
Proposed Totals	\$ 8,909,000	\$ 9,061,500	\$	9,114,500	\$	9,010,000	\$	9,215,000
Previously Approved	\$ 9,164,000	\$ 7,721,500	\$	9,617,000	\$	8,304,042		n/a
Difference	\$ (255,000)	\$ 1,340,000	\$	(502,500)	\$	705,958		n/a

Year 1: FY2020/21

Project	Budget	Schedule	Additional Notes/Details
Building Maintenance			
Burley Elevator Modernization	\$ 80,000	Summer 2021	
CATEC Electrical Improvements	\$ 90,000	Summer 2021	Replace original circuit breaker panels (23)
VMF Vehicle Lift Replacement	\$ 20,000	Summer 2021	Above Ground Lift
ADA - Building and Grounds Modifications	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Casework Refurbishment/Locker Removal	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Flooring Replacement	\$ 150,000	Recurring	
Masonry Repairs	\$ 40,000	Recurring	
Minor Capital Improvements	\$ 500,000	Recurring	
Painting	\$ 225,000	Recurring	
Security Upgrades	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Window & Door Upgrades	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Building Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,435,000		

Roof Maintenance				
Burley Roof Replacement Design: Addition	\$	40,000	Fall 2020	21,900 SF
Burley Roof Replacement: Addition	\$	350,000	Summer 2021	21,900 SF
CATEC Roof Replacement	\$	500,000	Summer 2021	
Henley Roof Replacement Design: '04 Addition	\$	35,000	Fall 2020	
Henley Roof Replacement: '04 Addition		325,000	Summer 2021	
WAHS Roof Replacement Design: Main Building Phase One	\$	90,000	Fall 2020	
WAHS Roof Replacement: Main Building Phase One	\$	900,000	Summer 2021	
Roof Safety Upgrades	\$	50,000	Recurring	Fall protection, roof hatches, railings
Roof Repairs	\$	50,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$	2,340,000		

Site Maintenance			
AHS, WAHS Campus Parking Lot Milling and Paving	\$ 350,000	Summer 2021	
Burley Field Improvements	\$ 75,000	Summer 2021	
Parking Lot Paving & Sealing	\$ 400,000	Recurring	
Playground Equipment & Athletic Improvements	\$ 125,000	Recurring	
Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 264,000	Summer 2021	AHS, Burley
Stormwater Facilities Maintenance & Improvements	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,244,000		

HVAC Maintenance			
AHS HVAC Replacement Design: '92 Addition	\$ 180,000	Fall 2020	Unit Ventilators, Outside Air and York Chiller Replacement
Broadus Wood Heat Pump Replacement Design '92 Addition	\$ 40,000	Fall 2020	Water Source Heat Pump
Broadus Wood Heat Pump Replacement '92 Addition	\$ 400,000	Summer 2021	Water Source Heat Pump
Broadus Wood HVAC Replacement Design	\$ 75,000	Fall 2020	DT Unit Ventilators, Outside Air with underground
Broadus Wood HVAC Replacement	\$ 750,000	Summer 2021	
Brownsville Boiler Replacement Design	\$ 30,000	Fall 2020	Replace with electric boilers and remove oil tank
Brownsville Boiler Replacement	\$ 300,000	Summer 2021	Replace with electric boilers and remove oil tank
Burley Kitchen Air Conditioning Design & Construction	\$ 300,000	Summer 2021	
Child Nutrition Equipment Replacement	\$ 75,000	Summer 2021	Walton Freezer Cooler Walk-In Replacement
Energy Management System Software Upgades	\$ 70,000	Recurring	
HVAC Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 2,220,000		

Electrical				
Agnor-Hurt, Burley, Jouett, Generator Installations	\$	350,000	Summer 2021	
MHS Baseball Stadium Light Replacement		250,000	Summer 2021	
Clock & PA System Replacement	\$	60,000	Recurring	Agnor-Hurt, Meriwether Lewis
Electrical Panel Upgrades	\$	55,000	Recurring	Broadus Wood, Hollymead
Energy & Water Efficiency Projects	\$	150,000	Recurring	
Electrical Maintenance Subtotal	\$	865,000		

Plumbing			
AHS Field House, Murray Elem. Domestic Water Heater Replacement	\$ 50,000	Summer 2021	
Walton Domestic Water Supply Replacement Design	\$ 25,000	Fall 2020	
Walton Domestic Water Supply Replacement	\$ 300,000	Summer 2021	Tanks, pumps, etc.
Restroom Upgrades	\$ 400,000	Recurring	
Well System Maintenance & Upgrades	\$ 30,000	Recurring	Tank cleaning, sensor
Plumbing Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 805,000		

Total	\$ 8,909,000
Previously Approved Total:	\$ 9,164,000
Difference from Approved:	\$ (255,000)

Year 2: FY2021/22

Project		Budget	Schedule	Additional Notes/Details
Building Maintenance				
CATEC H&V Unit Replacement (Shops)	\$	62,500		
Greer Elevator Modernization	\$	80,000	Summer 2022	
VMF Garage Door Replacment	\$	80,000	Summer 2022	
VMF, Walton, WAHS Fuel Storage Tank Replacement	\$	500,000	Summer 2022	
VMF, Walton Fule Pump Replacement	\$	100,000	Summer 2022	
ADA - Building and Grounds Modifications	\$	30,000	Recurring	
Casework Refurbishment/Locker Removal	\$	300,000	Recurring	
Flooring Replacement	\$	150,000	Recurring	
Masonry Repairs	\$	40,000	Recurring	
Minor Capital Improvements	\$	500,000	Recurring	
Painting	\$	300,000	Recurring	
Security Upgrades	\$	200,000	Recurring	
Window & Door Upgrades	\$	100,000	Recurring	
Duilding Maintenance Cubtetal	c -	7 447 500		

Building Maintenance Subtotal \$ 2,442,500

Roof Maintenance			
WAHS Roof Replacement Design: Main Building Phase Two	\$ 30,000	Fall 2021	
WAHS Roof Replacement: Main Building Phase Two	\$ 900,000	Summer 2022	
Roof Safety Upgrades	\$ 50,000	Recurring	Fall protection, roof hatches, railings
Roof Repairs	\$ 50,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,030,000		

Site Maintenance			
AHS Campus Improvements	\$ 200,000	Summer 2022	
Parking Lot Milling, Paving and Sealing	\$ 400,000	Recurring	x
Playground Equipment & Athletic Improvements	\$ 125,000	Recurring	
Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 264,000	Recurring	Brownsville, Henley, WAHS
Stormwater Facilities Maintenance & Improvements	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,019,000		

HVAC Maintenance			
AHS HVAC Replacement: '92 Addition	\$ 2,050,000	Summer 2022	Unit Ventilators, Outside Air and York Chiller Replacement
Henley HVAC Replacement Design: Tech Lab	\$ 5,000	Fall 2021	
Henley HVAC Replacement: Tech Lab	\$ 50,000	Summer 2022	
Jouett HVAC Replacement Design: Tech Lab and RTUs	\$ 15,000	Fall 2021	
Jouett HVAC Replacement: Tech Lab and RTUs	\$ 100,000	Summer 2022	
MHS Air Handler Replacement Design	\$ 40,000	Fall 2021	Media Center, Office, Forum and Main Gym
MHS Air Handler Replacement	\$ 350,000	Summer 2022	Media Center, Office, Forum and Main Gym
Murray HS HVAC Replacement Design	\$ 15,000	Fall 2021	Gym AHU and Lab Near Gym
Murray HS HVAC Replacement	\$ 100,000	Summer 2022	
Child Nutrition Equipment Replacement	\$ 75,000	Recurring	Crozet Walk-in Freezer/Cooler Replacement
Energy Management System Software Upgade	\$ 70,000	Recurring	
HVAC Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 2,870,000		

Electrical			
Greer, Hollymead, Scottsville Generator Installations	\$ 350,000	Summer 2022	
Walton Generator Replacement	\$ 75,000	Summer 2022	
Clock & PA System Replacement	\$ 50,000		
Electrical Panel Upgrades	\$ 185,000		WAHS Switchgear and Panel
Energy & Water Efficiency Projects	\$ 150,000	Recurring	
Fire Alarm Panel Replacement	\$ 210,000	Recurring	Burley, Sutherland
Electrical Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1.020.000		

Plumbing			
Broadus Wood Well House Booster Pump and Water Line Replacement	\$ 50,000	Summer 2022	
Broadus Wood Heanting/Cooling and Domestic Water Line Replacement	\$ 75,000	Summer 2022	
Hollymead Sewer Line Replacement	\$ 45,000	Summer 2022	Behind School
Restroom Upgrades	\$ 300,000	Recurring	
Plumbing/Sewer Upgrades	\$ 180,000	Recurring	
Well System Maintenance & Upgrades	\$ 30,000	Recurring	Tank cleaning, sensor
Plumbing Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 680,000		

Total	\$ 9,061,500
Previously Approved Total:	\$ 7,721,500
Difference from Approved:	\$ 1,340,000

Year 3: FY2022/23

Project	Budget	Schedule	Additional Notes/Details
Building Maintenance			
CATEC Projects (TBD)	\$ 50,000		
ADA - Building and Grounds Modifications	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Casework Refurbishment/Locker Removal	\$ 200,000	Recurring	
Flooring Replacement	\$ 200,000	Recurring	
Masonry Repairs	\$ 40,000	Recurring	
Minor Capital Improvements	\$ 500,000	Recurring	
Painting	\$ 300,000	Recurring	
Security Upgrades	\$ 200,000	Recurring	
Window & Door Upgrades	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Building Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,620,000		

Roof Maintenance Jouett Roof Replacement Design: Media Center and '03 Addition \$ 60,000 Fall 2022 Jouett Roof Replacement: Media Center and '03 Addition \$ 720,000 Summer 2023 50,000 Roof Safety Upgrades \$ Recurring Fall protection, roof hatches, railings Roof Repairs \$ 300,000 Recurring Roof Maintenance Subtotal \$ 1,130,000

Site Maintenance			
WAHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement Design	\$ 70,000	Summer 2022	
WAHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement and Track Repairs	\$ 500,000	Winter 2022	
MHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement Design	\$ 70,000	Summer 2022	
MHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement and Track Repairs	\$ 500,000	Winter 2022	
Parking Lot Milling, Paving and Sealing	\$ 400,000		
Playground Equipment & Athletic Improvements	\$ 125,000	Recurring	
Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 264,000	Recurring	AHS, Brownsville, Burley, Henley
Stormwater Facilities Maintenance & Improvements	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,959,000		

HVAC Maintenance				
Baker-Butler Chiller Replacement Design	\$	25,500	Fall 2022	
Baker-Butler Chiller Replacement	\$	255,000	Summer 2023	
Burley HVAC Replacement Design	\$	78,000	Fall 2022	Replace UV's & Controls (2nd & 3rd floors) Annex
Burley HVAC Replacement	\$	780,000	Summer 2023	
MHS HVAC Replacement Design	\$	60,000	Fall 2022	Replace Cafeteria, Guidance and Kitchen Equipment
MHS HVAC Replacement	\$	600,000	Summer 2023	Replace Cafeteria, Guidance and Kitchen Equipment
Murray ES Boiler and Water Heater Replacement Design	\$	20,000	Fall 2022	
Murray ES Boiler and Water Heater Replacement	\$	200,000	Summer 2023	
Stony Point HVAC Replacement Design	\$	12,000	Fall 2022	RT 1 on Addition
Stony Point HVAC Replacement	\$	120,000	Summer 2023	RT 1 on Addition
Child Nutrition Equipment Replacement	\$	100,000	Recurring	Hollymead Walk-in Freezer/Cooler Replacement
HVAC Maintenance Subto	tal \$	2,250,500	-	· · · ·

Electrical							
Clock & PA System Replacement	\$	50,000	Recurring	Stone Robinson, Ivy Creek			
Electrical Panel Upgrades	\$	100,000	Recurring				
Energy & Water Efficiency Projects	\$	150,000	Recurring				
Fire Alarm Panel Replacement	\$	200,000	Recurring	MHS, Jouett			
Electrical Maintenance Subtota	\$	500,000					

Plumbing			
Walton Septic System Replacement Design	\$ 125,000	Fall 2022	
Walton Septic System Replacement	\$ 1,200,000	Summer 2023	
Restroom Upgrades	\$ 300,000	Recurring	
Well System Maintenance & Upgrades	\$ 30,000	Recurring	Tank cleaning, sensor
Plumbing Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,655,000		

Total	\$ 9,114,500
Previously Approved Total:	\$ 9,617,000
Difference from Approved:	\$ (502,500)

Year 4: FY2023/24

	Budget	Schedule	Additional Notes/Details
\$	75,000		
\$	100,000		
¢	20.000	Denovin	
\$	30,000	Recurring	
\$	200,000	Recurring	
\$	250,000	Recurring	
\$	40,000	Recurring	
\$	1,000,000	Recurring	
\$	300,000	Recurring	
\$	100,000	Recurring	
\$	100,000	Recurring	
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Budget \$ 75,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 250,000 \$ 40,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	Budget Schedule \$ 75,000

Building Maintenance Subtotal \$ 2,195,000

Roof Maintenance			
Cale Roof Replacement Design: '07 Addition	\$ 20,000	Fall 2021	
Cale Roof Replacement: '07 Addition	\$ 240,000	Summer 2024	
Greer Roof Replacement Design: Original Building	\$ 50,000	Fall 2021	
Greer Roof Replacement: Original Building	\$ 675,000	Summer 2024	
Roof Safety Upgrades	\$ 50,000	Recurring	Fall protection, roof hatches, railings
Roof Repairs	\$ 1,500,000	Recurring	Locations TBD
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 2,535,000		

Site Maintenance			
AHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement Design	\$ 70,000	Summer 2023	
AHS Synthetic Turf Field Replacement and Track Repairs	\$ 500,000	Winter 2023	
Parking Lot Milling, Paving and Sealing	\$ 400,000		
Playground Equipment & Athletic Improvements	\$ 125,000	Recurring	
Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Stormwater Facilities Maintenance & Improvements	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,225,000		

HVAC Maintenance			
Greer Chiller Replacement Design	\$ 30,000	Fall 2023	
Greer Chiller Replacement	\$ 300,000	Summer 2024	
Hollymead RTU Replacement Design	\$ 50,000	Fall 2023	
Hollymead RTU Replacement	\$ 500,000	Summer 2024	
MHS Air Handler Replacement Design	\$ 30,000	Fall 2023	Houses
MHS Air Handler Replacement	\$ 300,000	Summer 2024	Houses
WAHS HVAC Replacement Design	\$ 40,000	Fall 2023	Office VAVs , RTU and Field House
WAHS HVAC Replacement	\$ 400,000	Summer 2024	Office VAVs , RTU and Field House
Child Nutrition Equipment Replacement	\$ 75,000	Recurring	
HVAC Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,725,000		

Electrical				
Clock & PA System Replacement	\$	50,000	Recurring	Stone Robinson, Ivy Creek
Electrical Panel Upgrades	\$	200,000	Recurring	
Energy & Water Efficiency Projects	\$	150,000	Recurring	
Fire Alarm Panel Replacement	\$	100,000	Recurring	MHS, Jouett
Electrical Maintenance Subtota	\$	500.000		

Plumbing						
Wastewater Line Assessments and Repairs	\$	400,000	Summer 2024	WAHS, Greer/Jouett		
Restroom Upgrades	\$	400,000	Recurring			
Well System Maintenance & Upgrades	\$	30,000	Recurring	Tank cleaning, sensor		

Plumbing Maintenance Subtotal \$ 830,000

Total	\$ 9,010,000
Previously Approved Total:	\$ 8,304,042
Difference from Approved:	\$ 705,958

Year 5: FY2024/25

Project	Budget	Schedule	Additional Notes/Details
Building Maintenance			
CATEC Projects (TBD)	\$ 50,000		
Crozet Elevator Modernization	\$ 80,000	Summer 2025	
ADA - Building and Grounds Modifications	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Casework Refurbishment/Locker Removal	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Flooring Replacement	\$ 150,000	Recurring	
Masonry Repairs	\$ 40,000	Recurring	
Minor Capital Improvements	\$ 1,000,000	Recurring	
Painting	\$ 300,000	Recurring	
Security Upgrades	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Window & Door Upgrades	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Building Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,950,000		

Roof Maintenance			
Broadus Wood Roof Replacement Design	\$ 60,000	Fall 2021	Media Center, Cafeteria, Gym, Admin Space, & Classroom Addition
Broadus Wood Roof Replacement	\$ 600,000	Summer 2024	Media Center, Cafeteria, Gym, Admin Space, & Classroom Addition
Roof Safety Upgrades	\$ 50,000	Recurring	Fall protection, roof hatches, railings
Roof Repairs	\$ 1,500,000	Recurring	Locations TBD
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 2,210,000		

Site Maintenance			
Parking Lot Milling, Paving and Sealing	\$ 400,000	Recurring	
Playground Equipment & Athletic Improvements	\$ 200,000	Recurring	
Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 100,000	Recurring	
Stormwater Facilities Maintenance & Improvements	\$ 30,000	Recurring	
Roof Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 730,000		

HVAC Maintenance			
Murray HS HVAC Replacement Design	\$ 60,000	Fall 2024	Back Hall and Office
Murray HS HVAC Replacement	\$ 600,000	Summer 2025	
Sutherland AHU Replacement Design	\$ 35,000	Fall 2024	
Sutherland AHU Replacement	\$ 350,000	Summer 2025	
Ivy Creek AHU Replacement Design	\$ 25,000	Fall 2024	
Ivy Creek AHU Replacement	\$ 250,000	Summer 2025	
Stony Point AAON Unit Replacement Design	\$ 20,000	Fall 2024	
Stony Point AAON Unit Replacement	\$ 200,000	Summer 2025	
Jouett Outside Air Unit Replacement Design	\$ 40,000	Fall 2024	
Jouett Outside Air Unit Replacement	\$ 400,000	Summer 2025	
Henley Hot Water Heater and Boiler Replacement Design	\$ 15,000	Fall 2024	
Henley Hot Water Heater and Boiler Replacement	\$ 150,000	Summer 2025	
Child Nutrition Fauinment Replacement	\$ 75 000	Recurring	
HVAC Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 2,220,000		1

Electrical			
Red Hill Generator Replacement	\$ 100,000	Summer 2025	
Clock & PA System Replacement	\$ 50,000	Recurring	
Electrical Panel Upgrades	\$ 175,000	Recurring	AHS Switchgear Main Boiler Room and Original Panels
Energy & Water Efficiency Projects	\$ 150,000	Recurring	
Fire Alarm Panel Replacement	\$ 150,000	Recurring	Broadus Wood, Baker-Butler
Electrical Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 625,000		

Plumbing			
Greer, WAHS Waste Water Pipe Replacement Design	\$ 100,000	Fall 2024	
Greer, WAHS Waste Water Pipe Replacement	\$ 750,000	Summer 2025	
Plumbing/Sewer Upgrades TBD	\$ 300,000	Summer 2025	
Restroom Upgrades	\$ 300,000	Recurring	
Well System Maintenance & Upgrades	\$ 30,000	Recurring	Tank cleaning, sensor
Plumbing Maintenance Subtotal	\$ 1,480,000		

9,215,000 n/a

Total	\$
Previously Approved Total:	
Difference from Approved:	

APPENDIX H

ACPS Technology Replacement Program

	CIP Request	CIP Request	CIP Request	CIP Request	CIP Request			
	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY2025			
Planned Replacements	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25			
CLASSROOM TECHNOLOGY - Student and Teacher Devices								
Student Laptop Replacements (3 grade levels) **	575,000	638,750	705,688	775,972	849,770			
Teacher Computer Replacement Cycle *	300,000	315,000	330,750	347,288	364,652			
Display Technology Replacement	812,500	812,500	400,000	400,000				
K-2 Tablet Replacements *			551,250	236,250				
Classroom Technology Total	1,687,500	1,766,250	1,987,688	1,759,509	1,214,422			
Current Adopted Funding	575,000	575,000	575,000	575,000	575,000			
Change in Budget	1,112,500	1,191,250	1,412,688	1,184,509	639,422			

OPERATIONAL SUPPORT -Administrative Systems and Devices							
Office & Administrative Staff Computers *	100,000	105,000	110,250	115,763	121,551		
VOIP\Desk Phone Replacement	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000		
VOIP Phone System Servers	50,000						
Building Network Closets Battery Backup	50,000						
Security Cameras		250,000					
Security Camera Server		90,000					
Data Storage System			450,000				
Data Center Battery Backup			4,000		54,000		
Data Center Server System					330,000		
Operational Support Total	260,000	505,000	624,250	175,763	565,551		
Current Adopted Funding	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000		
Change in Budget	-	245,000	364,250	(84,238)	305,551		

NETWORK OPERATIONS - Communication, WAN, Internet, WiFi, etc.							
Data Center Switches	250,000						
Construction & Materials - Fiber Project ACPS portion after ERATE	500,000						
Web Filter		250,000					
Building Network Switches			650,000	550,000			
Internet Firewall			200,000				
Fiber Maintenance			50,000				
WiFi Access Points					900,000		
Network Operations Total	750,000	250,000	900,000	550,000	900,000		
Current Adopted Funding	150,000	150,000	900,000	150,000	150,000		
Change in Budget	600,000	100,000	-	400,000	750,000		

* 5% Inflation Factor for FY22-25, also includes inflation for devices purchased by State Technology Grant

TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET							
CLASSROOM TECHNOLOGY	1,687,500	1,766,250	1,987,688	1,759,509	1,214,422		
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT	260,000	505,000	624,250	175,763	565,551		
NETWORK OPERATIONS	750,000	250,000	900,000	550,000	900,000		
TOTAL	2,697,500	2,521,250	3,511,938	2,485,272	2,679,973		

Change in Budget						
CLASSROOM TECHNOLOGY	1,112,500	1,191,250	1,412,688	1,184,509	639,422	
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT	-	245,000	364,250	(84,238)	305,551	
NETWORK OPERATIONS	600,000	100,000	-	400,000	750,000	
STATE GRANT FOR STUDENT TECHNOLOGY	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL	1,712,500	1,536,250	1,776,938	1,500,272	1,694,973	